

ACTSC221 Section 001

Blake William Peter Thomas

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 18, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 28, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 15, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 15, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 18, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 12, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 10
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 15, Interesting: 14, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 18, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 23, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 2
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 19, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 0, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 30, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 27, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 6, 3-6 hours: 22, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

36% (33 of 92) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC231 Section 001

Freeland R Keith

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 17, Good: 32, Satisfactory: 34, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 8, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 6, Somewhat too high: 27, Just right: 44, Somewhat too low: 12, Too low: 5, No opinion: 4
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 18, Good: 37, Satisfactory: 25, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 8
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 19, Good: 29, Satisfactory: 30, Unsatisfactory: 13, Very poor: 7, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 22, Good: 35, Satisfactory: 25, Unsatisfactory: 10, Very poor: 5, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 13, Often enough: 15, Not often enough: 4, Never: 3, I did not seek help: 54
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 11, Interesting: 62, Not Interesting: 22, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 12, Good: 37, Satisfactory: 31, Unsatisfactory: 12, Very poor: 6, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 59, 75-90%: 21, 50-75%: 14, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 2
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 6, No work assigned: 72, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 33, Not helpful: 10, No printed course notes: 42, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 16, Helpful: 48, Not helpful: 20, No text required: 3, No opinion: 11
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 14, Somewhat too much: 35, Okay: 45, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 38, Somewhat too little: 8, Too little: 17, No opinion: 26
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 26, 3-6 hours: 43, 7-10 hours: 22, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 3

46% (99 of 213) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC231 Section 002

Mei Yuchen

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 13, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 7, Somewhat too high: 12, Just right: 22, Somewhat too low: 5, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 14, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 13, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 7, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 13, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 6, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 22, Not Interesting: 12, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 14, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 32, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 29, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 5, No printed course notes: 15, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 24, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 2, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 2, No opinion: 8
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 13, 3-6 hours: 18, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 2

49% (46 of 94) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC232 Section 001

Adcock James

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 15, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 23, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 10, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 15, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 14, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 3, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 10
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 16, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 14, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 22, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 17, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 6, No text required: 2, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 18, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

31% (28 of 91) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC331 Section 001

Cai Jun

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 17, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 12, Just right: 28, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 13, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 15, Good: 24, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 4, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 17, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 8, Not often enough: 3, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 20
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 10, Interesting: 28, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 12, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 41, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 14, No opinion: 2
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 26, No opinion: 8
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 5, No text required: 14, No opinion: 9
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 35, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 36, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 2, No opinion: 5
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 5, 3-6 hours: 30, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 1

47% (47 of 101) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC371 Section 001

Tan Ken S

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 8, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 30, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 1, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 12, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 9, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 7, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 11, Often enough: 11, Not often enough: 3, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 11, Interesting: 20, Not Interesting: 12, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 10, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 21, 75-90%: 14, 50-75%: 8, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 27, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 15, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 9, No text required: 1, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 9, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 18, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 2, No opinion: 8
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 25, 7-10 hours: 9, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 1

37% (44 of 119) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC371 Section 002

Matheson Brenton James

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 1, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 9, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 5, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 0, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 2, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 1, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 8, Not often enough: 5, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 3
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 6, Interesting: 10, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 2, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 7, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 3
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 14, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 11, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 1, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 15, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 8, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 3, No opinion: 6
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 6, 3-6 hours: 8, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

22% (20 of 93) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC372 Section 001

Wood Peter J

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 31, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 12, Just right: 47, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 38, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 32, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 37, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 20, Most of the time: 11, Often enough: 10, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 20
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 21, Interesting: 37, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 37, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 52, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 36, Helpful: 26, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 22, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 17, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 8, No text required: 13, No opinion: 11
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 17, Okay: 44, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 56, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 7, 3-6 hours: 38, 7-10 hours: 16, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

36% (62 of 174) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC431/ACTSC831 Section 001

Weng Chengguo

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 23, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 11, Just right: 36, Somewhat too low: 6, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 26, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 30, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 10, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 17, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 20, Most of the time: 10, Often enough: 11, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 10, Interesting: 37, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 23, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 42, 75-90%: 10, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 18, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 21, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 12, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 24, No opinion: 10
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 38, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 39, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 3, No opinion: 4
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 10, 3-6 hours: 25, 7-10 hours: 14, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 2

68% (54 of 79) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC432/ACTSC832 Section 001

Cai Jun

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 14, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 21, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 5, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 10, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 2, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 12
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 7, Interesting: 13, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 6, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 22, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 7, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 12, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 8, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 19, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 20, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 3
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 7, 3-6 hours: 9, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 1

45% (24 of 53) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC445/ACTSC845 Section 001

Saunders David

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 18, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 5, Somewhat too high: 16, Just right: 25, Somewhat too low: 6, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 20, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 12, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 9, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 19, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 16, Most of the time: 10, Often enough: 13, Not often enough: 7, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 7
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 15, Interesting: 24, Not Interesting: 11, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 23, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 42, 75-90%: 9, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 30, Not helpful: 5, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 27, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 3, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 18, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 18, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 8, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 29, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 15, Okay: 34, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 23, 7-10 hours: 21, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 3

54% (54 of 100) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC446/ACTSC846 Section 001

Lemieux Christiane

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 20, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 6, Somewhat too high: 15, Just right: 19, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 21, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 21, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 17, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 13, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 8, Interesting: 27, Not Interesting: 6, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 18, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 27, 75-90%: 12, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 31, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 13, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 5, No text required: 3, No opinion: 9
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 24, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 39, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 5, 3-6 hours: 22, 7-10 hours: 13, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 1

59% (45 of 76) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC463 Section 001

Van Kooten Christopher John

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 6, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 10, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 7, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 3, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 8, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 2, Most of the time: 0, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 12
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 4, Interesting: 9, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 5, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 12, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 12, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 3, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 3, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 12, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 9, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 1, No opinion: 5
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 10, 3-6 hours: 5, 7-10 hours: 2, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 1

47% (18 of 38) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC471 Section 001

Brisley Neil

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 2, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 0, Just right: 4, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 3, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 4, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 2, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 0, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 2
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 4, Interesting: 1, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 4, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 4, 75-90%: 1, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 3, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 4, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 5, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 2, 7-10 hours: 1, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

42% (5 of 12) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC611 Section 001

Li Siu Hang

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 16, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 14, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 17, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 17, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 16, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 11, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 3
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 11, Interesting: 7, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 17, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 18, 75-90%: 0, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 1, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 5, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 16, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 7, 3-6 hours: 9, 7-10 hours: 2, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

86% (18 of 21) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC612 Section 001

Tan Ken S

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 4, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 7, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 4, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 3, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 3
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 4, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 4, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 13, 75-90%: 0, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 0, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 6, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 10, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 7, 7-10 hours: 3, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

62% (13 of 21) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC613 Section 001

Zhu Mu

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 10, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 9, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 11, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 12, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 9, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 0, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 6
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 6, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 10, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 14, 75-90%: 1, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 5, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 12, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 8, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 9, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 6, 3-6 hours: 8, 7-10 hours: 1, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

71% (15 of 21) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC614 Section 001

Andrews Douglas William

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 2, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 2, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 1, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 1, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 3, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 0, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 2
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 3, Interesting: 7, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 1, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 12, 75-90%: 1, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 5, No work assigned: 1, No opinion: 2
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 5, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 0, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 10, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 5, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 5, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 6, 3-6 hours: 5, 7-10 hours: 1, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

62% (13 of 21) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC615 Section 001

Andrews Douglas William

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 0, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 5, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 4, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 1, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 2, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 0, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 1
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 1, Interesting: 8, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 1, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 11, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 2, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 5, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 0, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 5, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 5, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 4, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 5, 3-6 hours: 7, 7-10 hours: 1, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

62% (13 of 21) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC970/ACC770 Section 001

Li Bin

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 14, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 15, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 13, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 13, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 11, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 10, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 1
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 7, Interesting: 14, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 12, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 20, 75-90%: 0, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 0, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 18, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 17, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 12, 7-10 hours: 4, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 2

50% (21 of 42) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC972/ACC772 Section 001

Kolkiewicz Adam

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 9, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 0, Just right: 8, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 10, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 9, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 9, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 1
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 8, Interesting: 4, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 8, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 8, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 2
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 2, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 2, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 7, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 11, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 9, 7-10 hours: 2, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

72% (13 of 18) of students answered some questions.

ACTSC974/STAT974 Section 001

Wirjanto Tony

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 0, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 5, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 6, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 5, Good: 0, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 5, Good: 0, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 0, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 0
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 4, Interesting: 3, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 5, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 4, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 1, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 3, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 4, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 4, 7-10 hours: 1, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 1

30% (7 of 23) of students answered some questions.

AMATH231 Section 001

Vrscay Edward R

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 11, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 12, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 10, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 6, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 10, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 10, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 2
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 10, Interesting: 4, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 12, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 13, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 1, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 5, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 10, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

75% (15 of 20) of students answered some questions.

AMATH250 Section 001

West Joseph John

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 20, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 29, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 25, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 25, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 25, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 10, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 14
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 11, Interesting: 23, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 25, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 32, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 6, No text required: 12, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 27, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 34, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 5, 3-6 hours: 31, 7-10 hours: 3, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 1

36% (41 of 115) of students answered some questions.

AMATH250 Section 002

West Joseph John

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 22, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 25, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 21, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 23, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 27, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 13, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 8
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 20, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 26, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 27, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 11, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 10, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 25, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 25, 7-10 hours: 4, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

34% (34 of 99) of students answered some questions.

AMATH251 Section 001

Lamb Kevin G

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 5, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 11, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 9, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 4, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 5, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 15
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 12, Not Interesting: 6, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 4, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 18, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 5, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 5, No printed course notes: 6, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 15, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 14, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 5, 3-6 hours: 11, 7-10 hours: 7, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 0

60% (28 of 47) of students answered some questions.

AMATH271 Section 001

Waite Michael L.

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 13, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 17, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 10, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 13, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 16, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 6
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 8, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 14, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 18, 75-90%: 1, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 13, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 0, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 17, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 14, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 13, 7-10 hours: 2, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 1

70% (21 of 30) of students answered some questions.

AMATH331/PMATH331 Section 001

Andre Robert

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 20, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 19, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 18, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 14, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 19, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 15, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 6
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 4, Interesting: 17, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 20, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 25, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 4, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 22, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 10, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 20, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 16, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

29% (28 of 97) of students answered some questions.

AMATH350 Section 001

Harmsworth David L

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 26, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 24, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 24, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 23, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 27, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 15, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 7
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 18, Not Interesting: 7, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 25, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 30, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 19, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 6, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 19, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 17, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 27, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 20, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 1

32% (36 of 114) of students answered some questions.

AMATH351 Section 001

Poulin Francis

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 12, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 21, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 15, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 12, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 11, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 15, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 5
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 7, Interesting: 19, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 12, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 24, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 3, No opinion: 6
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 19, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 17, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 18, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 1

71% (29 of 41) of students answered some questions.

AMATH442 Section 001

Rhebergen Sander

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 6, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 7, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 5, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 5, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 2, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 0, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 1
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 5, Interesting: 3, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 6, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 6, 75-90%: 1, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 2, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 7, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 7, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 5, 7-10 hours: 1, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

80% (8 of 10) of students answered some questions.

AMATH453 Section 001

Sivaloganathan Sivabal

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 4, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 1, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 7, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 5, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 5, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 3, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 0
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 5, Interesting: 5, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 3, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 8, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 4, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 9, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 2, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 5, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 4, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 0

76% (13 of 17) of students answered some questions.

AMATH456 Section 001

Siegel David

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 14, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 26, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 15, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 13, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 14, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 17
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 18, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 15, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 26, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 5, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 21, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 19, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

70% (30 of 43) of students answered some questions.

AMATH463/AMATH663 Section 001

Stastna Marek M

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 8, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 8, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 3, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 3, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 0, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 3
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 5, Interesting: 4, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 6, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 9, 75-90%: 0, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 9, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 9, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 8, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 9, 7-10 hours: 0, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

60% (9 of 15) of students answered some questions.

CO227 Section 001

Roh Patrick Young

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 3, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 15, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 3, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 6, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 7, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 4
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 12, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 5, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 8, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 11, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 11, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 12, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 16, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 11, 7-10 hours: 3, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 0

40% (19 of 48) of students answered some questions.

CO250 Section 001

Guenin Bertrand

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 17, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 26, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 20, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 13, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 17, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 9, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 15, Interesting: 16, Not Interesting: 9, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 19, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 30, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 24, Not helpful: 5, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 21, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 2, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 24, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 9, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 24, 7-10 hours: 10, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

40% (40 of 99) of students answered some questions.

CO250 Section 002

Vavasis Stephen

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 8, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 6, Somewhat too high: 15, Just right: 13, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 14, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 10, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 12, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 9, Most of the time: 10, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 10
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 20, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 12, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 27, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 4, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 16, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 3, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 19, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 18, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 12, 7-10 hours: 20, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 1

42% (36 of 86) of students answered some questions.

CO330 Section 001

Katz Eric

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 2, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 5, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 3, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 3, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 5, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 1, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 4
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 6, Interesting: 6, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 3, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 9, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 10, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 8, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 8, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 4, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

44% (12 of 27) of students answered some questions.

CO342 Section 001

Richter R. Bruce

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 15, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 16, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 15, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 12, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 14, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 11
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 8, Interesting: 11, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 15, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 14, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 6, No opinion: 7
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 14, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 16, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 17, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 10, 7-10 hours: 7, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

50% (20 of 40) of students answered some questions.

CO351 Section 001

Richter R. Bruce

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 4, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 11, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 7, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 5, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 7, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 5
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 8, Interesting: 6, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 4, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 14, 75-90%: 1, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 1, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 13, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 10, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 8, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 2

40% (16 of 40) of students answered some questions.

CO370 Section 001

Fukasawa Ricardo

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 8, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 8, Just right: 14, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 9, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 8, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 8, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 10
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 6, Interesting: 12, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 8, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 19, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 5, No printed course notes: 2, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 11, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 15, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 12, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 3

31% (25 of 81) of students answered some questions.

CO372 Section 001

Xu Wei

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 0, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 5, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 2, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 0, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 1, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 8, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 0
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 0, Interesting: 6, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 2, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 8, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 5, No printed course notes: 3, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 5, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 6, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 6, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 3, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 1

30% (11 of 37) of students answered some questions.

CO430/CO630 Section 001

Wagner David G

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 4, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 0, Just right: 6, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 5, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 5, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 6, Good: 0, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 0, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 0
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 3, Interesting: 3, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 5, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 6, 75-90%: 0, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 5, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 3, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 3, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 3, 7-10 hours: 2, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

55% (6 of 11) of students answered some questions.

CO442/CO642 Section 001

Postle Luke

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 5, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 2, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 0, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 6, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 1, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 0
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 8, Interesting: 4, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 6, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 12, 75-90%: 0, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 8, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 7, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 7, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 7, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 1, 7-10 hours: 0, 11-15 hours: 7, > 15 hours: 4

44% (12 of 27) of students answered some questions.

CO450/CO650 Section 001

Fukasawa Ricardo

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 6, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 2, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 6, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 3, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 1, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 3
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 5, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 3, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 9, 75-90%: 0, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 7, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 2, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 6, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 9, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 2, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 1

45% (9 of 20) of students answered some questions.

CO456 Section 001

Gauthier-Shalom Gabriel

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 16, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 12, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 7, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 10, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 9
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 13, Interesting: 13, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 8, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 22, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 18, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 4, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 18, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 20, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 14, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 0

63% (27 of 43) of students answered some questions.

CO463/CO663 Section 001

Wolkowicz Henry

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 2, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 2, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 5, Good: 0, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 3, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 3, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 3, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 0, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 1
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 1, Interesting: 4, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 2, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 4, 75-90%: 1, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 1, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 1, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 4, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 0, 7-10 hours: 2, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

36% (5 of 14) of students answered some questions.

CO485/CO685 Section 001

Jao David

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 9, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 13, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 2, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 14, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 7, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 12, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 3
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 9, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 12, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 16, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 12, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 1, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 14, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 14, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 8, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

73% (19 of 26) of students answered some questions.

COMM231 Section 001

Radocchia, Joseph Anthony

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 18, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 38, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 18, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 10, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 22, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 11, Not often enough: 3, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 17
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 26, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 19, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 35, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 6, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 6, No work assigned: 3, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 24, No opinion: 6
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 7, No text required: 0, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 37, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 42, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 10, 3-6 hours: 29, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

35% (46 of 133) of students answered some questions.

COMM421 Section 001

Matheson, Brenton James

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 0, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 4, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 1, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 2, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 3, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 1
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 3, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 0, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 2, 75-90%: 0, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 4, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 1, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 5, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 5, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 3, 7-10 hours: 0, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

35% (6 of 17) of students answered some questions.

COMM431 Section 001

Liu, Zhiyong Michael

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 4, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 0, Just right: 12, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 0, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 3, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 2, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 1, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 2
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 7, Interesting: 6, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 4, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 9, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 2, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 1, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 11, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 9, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 5, 7-10 hours: 4, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

54% (13 of 24) of students answered some questions.

CS115 Section 001

Dupont Edward

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 46, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 51, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 1, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 50, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 46, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 49, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 27, Most of the time: 12, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 14
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 29, Interesting: 26, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 53, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 48, 75-90%: 9, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 25, Helpful: 27, Not helpful: 4, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 3
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 8, No printed course notes: 3, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 10, No text required: 20, No opinion: 8
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 39, Somewhat too little: 5, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 8, Somewhat too much: 15, Okay: 34, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 33, 7-10 hours: 19, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 1

67% (60 of 90) of students answered some questions.

CS115 Section 002

Ibrahim Ahmed Fakhri

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 22, Somewhat too low: 9, Too low: 1, No opinion: 3
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 13, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 6, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 4, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 9, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 12
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 13, Interesting: 15, Not Interesting: 8, No opinion: 5
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 10, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 32, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 16, Helpful: 22, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 24, Not helpful: 5, No printed course notes: 4, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 12, No text required: 9, No opinion: 7
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 14, 7-10 hours: 16, 11-15 hours: 8, > 15 hours: 3

65% (41 of 63) of students answered some questions.

CS115 Section 003

Graham Sandra Lynn

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 11, Good: 29, Satisfactory: 28, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 23, Just right: 41, Somewhat too low: 7, Too low: 3, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 23, Good: 28, Satisfactory: 24, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 17, Good: 37, Satisfactory: 17, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 13, Good: 32, Satisfactory: 24, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 11, Most of the time: 15, Often enough: 21, Not often enough: 2, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 26
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 16, Interesting: 42, Not Interesting: 14, No opinion: 6
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 13, Good: 30, Satisfactory: 23, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 67, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 34, Helpful: 37, Not helpful: 7, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 31, Not helpful: 9, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 10
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 17, No text required: 22, No opinion: 11
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 19, Okay: 53, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 18, Okay: 55, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 41, 7-10 hours: 25, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 3

74% (78 of 106) of students answered some questions.

CS115 Section 004

Ibrahim Ahmed Fakhri

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 28, Somewhat too low: 10, Too low: 2, No opinion: 3
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 8, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 4, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 19, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 3, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 21, Unsatisfactory: 10, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 12, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 16
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 6, Interesting: 30, Not Interesting: 8, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 4, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 39, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 9, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 10, No text required: 6, No opinion: 11
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 30, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 34, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 22, 7-10 hours: 18, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 2

65% (47 of 72) of students answered some questions.

CS115 Section 005

Bedawi Safaa Mahmoud Ahmed

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 2, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 18, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 6, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 32, Somewhat too low: 12, Too low: 4, No opinion: 2
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 8, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 22, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 7, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 3, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 19, Unsatisfactory: 14, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 1, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 22, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 14, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 13, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 12, Not often enough: 4, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 19
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 5, Interesting: 35, Not Interesting: 14, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 1, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 15, Very poor: 8, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 37, 75-90%: 12, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 3, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 21, Helpful: 33, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 11, No printed course notes: 9, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 15, No text required: 14, No opinion: 9
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 32, Somewhat too little: 9, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 16, Okay: 36, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 28, 7-10 hours: 17, 11-15 hours: 7, > 15 hours: 2

66% (58 of 88) of students answered some questions.

CS115 Section 006

Graham Sandra Lynn

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 23, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 17, Just right: 37, Somewhat too low: 6, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 23, Good: 30, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 27, Good: 26, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 20, Good: 30, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 16, Most of the time: 15, Often enough: 11, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 20
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 13, Interesting: 37, Not Interesting: 11, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 18, Good: 31, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 53, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 32, Helpful: 30, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 29, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 24, No opinion: 8
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 16, Okay: 41, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 47, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 36, 7-10 hours: 17, 11-15 hours: 6, > 15 hours: 1

63% (64 of 101) of students answered some questions.

CS115 Section 007

Sakhnini Victoria

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 49, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 8, Just right: 62, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 50, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 37, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 37, Good: 27, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 30, Most of the time: 18, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 18
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 27, Interesting: 36, Not Interesting: 11, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 49, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 65, 75-90%: 9, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 38, Helpful: 35, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 24, Helpful: 34, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 14, No text required: 18, No opinion: 14
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 17, Okay: 49, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 18, Okay: 52, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 6, 3-6 hours: 39, 7-10 hours: 24, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 2

73% (76 of 104) of students answered some questions.

CS115 Section 008

Bedawi Safaa Mahmoud Ahmed

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 9, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 24, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 5, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 11, Just right: 30, Somewhat too low: 8, Too low: 5, No opinion: 4
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 19, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 4
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 10, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 11, Very poor: 7, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 6, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 20, Unsatisfactory: 14, Very poor: 9, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 14, Most of the time: 12, Often enough: 16, Not often enough: 3, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 15
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 7, Interesting: 32, Not Interesting: 14, No opinion: 8
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 5, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 18, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 9, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 46, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 3, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 25, Helpful: 34, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 23, Not helpful: 7, No printed course notes: 8, No opinion: 8
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 14, No text required: 12, No opinion: 11
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 45, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 15, Okay: 39, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 29, 7-10 hours: 18, 11-15 hours: 8, > 15 hours: 2

62% (61 of 98) of students answered some questions.

CS115 Section 009

Maftuleac Daniela

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 7, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 2
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 23, Somewhat too low: 15, Too low: 3, No opinion: 3
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 8, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 10, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 18, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 5, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 11, Very poor: 5, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 10, Most of the time: 10, Often enough: 12, Not often enough: 4, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 8, Interesting: 25, Not Interesting: 15, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 6, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 11, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 2

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 37, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 21, Helpful: 23, Not helpful: 5, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 8, No text required: 6, No opinion: 9
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 37, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 35, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 31, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 2

73% (49 of 67) of students answered some questions.

CS115 Section 010

Vogel Daniel

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 39, Good: 32, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 16, Just right: 51, Somewhat too low: 8, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 49, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 44, Good: 26, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 38, Good: 32, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 30, Most of the time: 22, Often enough: 16, Not often enough: 3, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 5
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 29, Interesting: 36, Not Interesting: 9, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 46, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 52, 75-90%: 19, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 31, Helpful: 42, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 30, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 18, No opinion: 9
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 41, Not helpful: 10, No text required: 0, No opinion: 13
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 9, Somewhat too much: 28, Okay: 37, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 12, Somewhat too much: 27, Okay: 36, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 12, 7-10 hours: 31, 11-15 hours: 19, > 15 hours: 14

77% (76 of 99) of students answered some questions.

CS116 Section 001

Case Lori

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 22, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 23, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 22, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 20, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 21, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 15, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 7
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 16, Interesting: 12, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 21, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 19, 75-90%: 9, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 1, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 6, No text required: 4, No opinion: 7
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 22, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 16, 7-10 hours: 13, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 1

49% (32 of 65) of students answered some questions.

CS116 Section 002

Case Lori

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 21, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 26, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 2
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 21, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 18, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 21, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 14, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 4, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 8
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 14, Interesting: 22, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 21, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 26, 75-90%: 10, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 19, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 3, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 7, No opinion: 12
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 27, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 15, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 22, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 1

48% (41 of 86) of students answered some questions.

CS116 Section 003

Case Lori

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 15, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 26, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 19, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 16, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 21, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 14, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 8
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 20, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 16, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 21, 75-90%: 10, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 20, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 2, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 12, No opinion: 8
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 22, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 19, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 15, 7-10 hours: 15, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 1

58% (33 of 57) of students answered some questions.

CS135 Section 001

Tompkins Dave

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 49, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 54, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 46, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 49, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 54, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 25, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 8, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 17
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 32, Interesting: 27, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 58, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 52, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 33, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 14, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 15, No text required: 19, No opinion: 11
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 15, Okay: 38, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 10, Somewhat too much: 20, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 10, 7-10 hours: 26, 11-15 hours: 15, > 15 hours: 7

84% (59 of 70) of students answered some questions.

CS135 Section 002

Holtby Daniel James

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 6, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 20, Somewhat too low: 9, Too low: 1, No opinion: 3
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 7, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 12, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 6, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 20
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 6, Interesting: 24, Not Interesting: 10, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 4, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 30, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 26, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 13, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 12, No text required: 5, No opinion: 8
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 8, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 22, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 12, 7-10 hours: 17, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 7

64% (41 of 64) of students answered some questions.

CS135 Section 003

Akinyemi John Akinlabi

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 10, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 18, Somewhat too low: 18, Too low: 3, No opinion: 4
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 7, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 17, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 3
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 5, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 17, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 3, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 18, Unsatisfactory: 10, Very poor: 5, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 3, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 23
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 8, Interesting: 24, Not Interesting: 15, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 3, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 17, Unsatisfactory: 9, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 28, 75-90%: 14, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 24, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 1, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 12, No text required: 5, No opinion: 15
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 27, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 2, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 13, Somewhat too much: 22, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 6, 7-10 hours: 19, 11-15 hours: 14, > 15 hours: 8

70% (48 of 69) of students answered some questions.

CS135 Section 004

Roegiest Adam Micheal

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 21, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 39, Somewhat too low: 5, Too low: 1, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 12, Good: 32, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 15, Good: 27, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 10, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 18, Good: 26, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 13, Often enough: 8, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 30
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 17, Interesting: 33, Not Interesting: 9, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 16, Good: 28, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 49, 75-90%: 10, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 36, Helpful: 22, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 24, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 13, No opinion: 8
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 15, No text required: 5, No opinion: 16
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 9, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 34, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 2, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 11, Somewhat too much: 24, Okay: 25, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 10, 7-10 hours: 23, 11-15 hours: 9, > 15 hours: 17

75% (60 of 80) of students answered some questions.

CS135 Section 005

Tompkins Dave

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 55, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 55, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 46, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 48, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 60, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 18, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 33
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 33, Interesting: 26, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 61, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 57, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 39, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 1, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 22, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 14, No opinion: 8
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 12, No text required: 21, No opinion: 14
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 16, Okay: 40, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 10, Somewhat too much: 36, Okay: 17, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 11, 7-10 hours: 24, 11-15 hours: 16, > 15 hours: 13

80% (64 of 80) of students answered some questions.

CS135 Section 006

Istead Lesley Ann

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 11, Good: 24, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 12, Just right: 28, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 18, Good: 24, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 17, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 15, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 11, Most of the time: 10, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 21
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 21, Interesting: 23, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 15, Good: 26, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 42, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 28, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 5, No printed course notes: 18, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 10, No text required: 13, No opinion: 16
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 30, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 12, Somewhat too much: 15, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 10, 7-10 hours: 20, 11-15 hours: 9, > 15 hours: 10

65% (49 of 75) of students answered some questions.

CS135 Section 007

Istead Lesley Ann

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 11, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 8, Just right: 25, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 18, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 14, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 16, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 18, Interesting: 17, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 15, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 30, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 26, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 8, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 13, No text required: 9, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 18, Okay: 14, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 5, 7-10 hours: 18, 11-15 hours: 10, > 15 hours: 5

53% (39 of 73) of students answered some questions.

CS135 Section 008

Holtby Daniel James

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 8, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 11, Just right: 33, Somewhat too low: 6, Too low: 2, No opinion: 4
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 12, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 17, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 7, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 9, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 18, Unsatisfactory: 13, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 3, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 14, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 30
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 8, Interesting: 30, Not Interesting: 12, No opinion: 6
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 4, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 19, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 43, 75-90%: 10, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 28, Helpful: 22, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 3
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 23, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 14, No opinion: 10
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 18, Not helpful: 9, No text required: 10, No opinion: 15
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 15, Okay: 32, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 21, Okay: 29, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 13, 7-10 hours: 23, 11-15 hours: 10, > 15 hours: 9

74% (56 of 76) of students answered some questions.

CS135 Section 009

Akinyemi John Akinlabi

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 15, Somewhat too low: 10, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 4, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 6, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 2, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 12
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 7, Interesting: 20, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 2, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 24, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 11, No text required: 10, No opinion: 5
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 11, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 10, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 2, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 13, > 15 hours: 8

67% (32 of 48) of students answered some questions.

CS135 Section 010

Roegiest Adam Micheal

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 18, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 15, Just right: 32, Somewhat too low: 6, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 17, Good: 24, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 14, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 18, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 25, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 9, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 1, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 28
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 20, Interesting: 25, Not Interesting: 9, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 16, Good: 28, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 44, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 27, Helpful: 22, Not helpful: 4, No work assigned: 1, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 24, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 8, No opinion: 7
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 18, No text required: 10, No opinion: 11
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 17, Okay: 29, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 1, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 10, Somewhat too much: 25, Okay: 20, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 9, 7-10 hours: 20, 11-15 hours: 8, > 15 hours: 17

69% (55 of 80) of students answered some questions.

CS135 Section 011

Heinle Albert

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 18, Good: 24, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 11, Just right: 35, Somewhat too low: 6, Too low: 2, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 25, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 15, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 17, Good: 26, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 18, Most of the time: 14, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 14
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 19, Interesting: 24, Not Interesting: 8, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 15, Good: 29, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 38, 75-90%: 11, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 28, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 19, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 13, No text required: 19, No opinion: 9
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 38, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 26, Okay: 22, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 12, 7-10 hours: 22, 11-15 hours: 14, > 15 hours: 5

71% (54 of 76) of students answered some questions.

CS136 Section 001

Cormack Gordon V

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 18, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 33, Somewhat too low: 6, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 26, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 13, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 24, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 15, Most of the time: 10, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 14
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 18, Interesting: 23, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 22, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 30, 75-90%: 14, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 25, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 3, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 6, No text required: 9, No opinion: 18
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 35, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 3, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 35, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 19, 7-10 hours: 18, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 4

62% (46 of 74) of students answered some questions.

CS136 Section 002

Cormack Gordon V

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 8, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 24, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 19, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 9, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 10, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 12, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 7
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 17, Interesting: 13, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 8, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 24, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 21, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 3, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 8, No text required: 8, No opinion: 11
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 27, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 22, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 11, 7-10 hours: 13, 11-15 hours: 6, > 15 hours: 3

49% (34 of 70) of students answered some questions.

CS136 Section 003

Bright Curtis William

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 6, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 23, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 11, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 7, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 7, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 10
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 7, Interesting: 21, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 11, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 23, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 4, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 9, No opinion: 10
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 27, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 25, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 9, 7-10 hours: 15, 11-15 hours: 6, > 15 hours: 0

41% (30 of 74) of students answered some questions.

CS137 Section 001

Morton Andrew

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 23, Good: 27, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 50, Somewhat too low: 5, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 27, Good: 26, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 21, Good: 34, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 30, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 35
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 20, Interesting: 37, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 25, Good: 32, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 54, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 34, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 31, No opinion: 10
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 9, No text required: 14, No opinion: 12
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 37, Somewhat too little: 13, Too little: 7, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 55, Somewhat too little: 5, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 20, 3-6 hours: 34, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 1

49% (61 of 124) of students answered some questions.

CS145 Section 001

Lushman Bradley Michael

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 34, Good: 27, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 15, Just right: 42, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 1, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 27, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 26, Good: 28, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 29, Good: 28, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 11, Most of the time: 15, Often enough: 11, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 25
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 42, Interesting: 20, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 29, Good: 31, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 53, 75-90%: 9, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 37, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 1, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 31, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 19, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 48, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 43, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 52, Somewhat too little: 8, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 8, 3-6 hours: 32, 7-10 hours: 20, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 0

83% (63 of 76) of students answered some questions.

CS200 Section 001

Daly Barbara

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 12, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 20, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 15, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 11, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 13, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 9
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 5, Interesting: 17, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 12, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 18, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 5, No printed course notes: 5, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 8, No text required: 0, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 20, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 14, 7-10 hours: 7, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

67% (26 of 39) of students answered some questions.

CS230 Section 001

Al-Masri Eyhab

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 26, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 16, Just right: 42, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 36, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 21, Good: 28, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 19, Good: 28, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 18, Most of the time: 15, Often enough: 8, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 19
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 41, Not Interesting: 6, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 28, Good: 27, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 39, 75-90%: 15, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 3
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 33, Helpful: 28, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 20, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 38, No opinion: 15
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 22, Okay: 34, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 57, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 10, 3-6 hours: 36, 7-10 hours: 13, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 0

61% (62 of 101) of students answered some questions.

CS234 Section 001

Hosseini Hadi

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 45, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 47, Somewhat too low: 9, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 41, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 37, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 41, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 21, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 25
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 26, Interesting: 28, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 45, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 37, 75-90%: 15, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 31, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 2
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 16, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 25, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 26, No opinion: 17
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 45, Somewhat too little: 8, Too little: 2, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 54, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 25, 3-6 hours: 27, 7-10 hours: 4, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

67% (59 of 88) of students answered some questions.

CS234 Section 002

Mehrabani Saeed

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 15, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 26, Somewhat too low: 5, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 22, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 16, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 13, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 12, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 9
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 18, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 19, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 18, 75-90%: 11, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 14, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 13, No opinion: 9
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 8, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 29, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 8, 3-6 hours: 17, 7-10 hours: 7, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

42% (33 of 79) of students answered some questions.

CS240 Section 001

Storjohann Arne

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 9, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 8, Just right: 20, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 2, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 8, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 7, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 4, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 14
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 20, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 8, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 25, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 6, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 18, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 12, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 21, No opinion: 9
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 32, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 17, 7-10 hours: 17, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 1

39% (37 of 94) of students answered some questions.

CS240 Section 002

Storjohann Arne

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 1, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 11, Just right: 15, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 2, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 3
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 3, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 9, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 4, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 2, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 3, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 16
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 7, Interesting: 17, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 2, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 20, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 5, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 17, No opinion: 7
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 21, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

31% (29 of 93) of students answered some questions.

CS241 Section 001

Vasiga Troy Michael John

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 31, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 29, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 22, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 24, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 31, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 12, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 12
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 14, Interesting: 17, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 27, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 31, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 12, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 25, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 20, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 4, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 8, > 15 hours: 13

44% (36 of 81) of students answered some questions.

CS241 Section 002

Vasiga Troy Michael John

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 27, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 29, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 27, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 17, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 32, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 11, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 3, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 16
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 16, Interesting: 18, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 29, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 29, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 22, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 13, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 24, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 19, Okay: 9, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 2, 7-10 hours: 15, 11-15 hours: 8, > 15 hours: 11

47% (36 of 77) of students answered some questions.

CS241 Section 003

Lushman Bradley Michael

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 16, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 18, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 14, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 15, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 18, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 17, Interesting: 8, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 18, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 20, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 4, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 12, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 17, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 22, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 6, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 4

60% (27 of 45) of students answered some questions.

CS241 Section 004

Lanctot Kevin

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 8, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 20, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 4, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 10, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 8, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 1, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 12
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 10, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 8, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 11, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 3, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 18, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 16, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 9, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 5, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 7

41% (24 of 58) of students answered some questions.

CS241 Section 005

Lhotak Ondrej

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 33, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 37, Somewhat too low: 6, Too low: 1, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 33, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 14, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 25, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 18, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 20
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 36, Interesting: 14, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 33, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 39, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 2
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 44, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 40, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 43, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 44, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 34, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 21, 7-10 hours: 14, 11-15 hours: 11, > 15 hours: 2

74% (50 of 68) of students answered some questions.

CS245 Section 001

Van Beek Peter

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 17, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 35, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 23, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 20, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 17, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 11, Not often enough: 1, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 24
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 16, Interesting: 21, Not Interesting: 10, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 20, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 32, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 8, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 22, Helpful: 23, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 11, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 10, No text required: 9, No opinion: 9
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 37, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 39, Somewhat too little: 6, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 34, 7-10 hours: 9, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

54% (48 of 89) of students answered some questions.

CS245 Section 002

Buss Jonathan

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 9, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 12, Just right: 15, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 1, No opinion: 3
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 8, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 6, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 5, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 4, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 11, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 2, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 20
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 20, Not Interesting: 13, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 4, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 10, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 14, 75-90%: 11, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 6
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 16, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 8, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 8, No opinion: 14
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 3
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 33, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 29, 7-10 hours: 4, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

49% (37 of 76) of students answered some questions.

CS245 Section 003

Buss Jonathan

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 1, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 6, No opinion: 3
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 13, Just right: 8, Somewhat too low: 5, Too low: 1, No opinion: 4
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 0, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 4
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 3
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 0, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 5, No opinion: 3
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 1, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 3, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 20
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 3, Interesting: 15, Not Interesting: 12, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 0, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 6, No opinion: 4

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 17, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 5
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 4, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 8, No text required: 5, No opinion: 10
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 22, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

47% (32 of 68) of students answered some questions.

CS245 Section 004

Van Beek Peter

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 20, Good: 27, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 13, Just right: 37, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 36, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 20, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 22, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 9, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 28
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 10, Interesting: 29, Not Interesting: 10, No opinion: 5
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 26, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 39, 75-90%: 10, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 25, Helpful: 26, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 1, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 8, No text required: 11, No opinion: 18
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 39, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 48, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 44, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

58% (54 of 93) of students answered some questions.

CS245 Section 005

Van Beek Peter

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 19, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 30, Somewhat too low: 6, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 22, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 13, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 16, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 33
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 23, Not Interesting: 12, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 20, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 30, 75-90%: 9, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 22, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 16, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 6, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 7, No text required: 12, No opinion: 13
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 27, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 35, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 6, 3-6 hours: 27, 7-10 hours: 9, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

54% (46 of 85) of students answered some questions.

CS245 Section 006

Ben-David Shai

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 3, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 19, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 10, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 5, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 8, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 11
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 10, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 9, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 18, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 20, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 16, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 19, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 8, Somewhat too little: 15, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 8, 3-6 hours: 14, 7-10 hours: 1, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

66% (23 of 35) of students answered some questions.

CS246 Section 001

Naeem Nomair Ahmed

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 50, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 6, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 50, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 43, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 40, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 53, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 18, Most of the time: 11, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 3, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 21
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 40, Interesting: 20, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 50, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 59, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 44, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 10, No printed course notes: 26, No opinion: 8
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 5, No text required: 34, No opinion: 13
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 8, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 41, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 17, Somewhat too much: 23, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 1, 7-10 hours: 15, 11-15 hours: 20, > 15 hours: 26

72% (63 of 88) of students answered some questions.

CS246 Section 002

Zhang Cheng

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 8, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 24, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 4
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 5, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 4
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 5, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 9, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 1, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 14, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 2, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 26
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 14, Interesting: 18, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 3, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 10, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 2

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 25, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 3, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 10, No printed course notes: 17, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 5, No text required: 22, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 10, Somewhat too much: 18, Okay: 10, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 2, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 14, > 15 hours: 17

52% (39 of 75) of students answered some questions.

CS246 Section 003

Naeem Nomair Ahmed

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 46, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 13, Just right: 47, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 53, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 34, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 48, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 15, Most of the time: 14, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 27
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 45, Interesting: 17, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 52, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 58, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 39, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 5, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 41, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 46, No opinion: 8
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 19, Okay: 33, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 16, Somewhat too much: 23, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 9, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 19, > 15 hours: 24

70% (63 of 90) of students answered some questions.

CS246 Section 004

Zhang Cheng

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 9, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 29, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 1, No opinion: 4
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 6, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 19, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 8, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 7, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 4, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 6, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 2, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 1, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 33
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 22, Interesting: 18, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 5, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 5, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 27, 75-90%: 11, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 3
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 28, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 6, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 8, No printed course notes: 19, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 25, No opinion: 11
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 35, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 11, Somewhat too much: 16, Okay: 19, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 8, 7-10 hours: 13, 11-15 hours: 13, > 15 hours: 12

63% (46 of 73) of students answered some questions.

CS246 Section 005

Naeem Nomair Ahmed

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 54, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 14, Just right: 52, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 2
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 59, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 46, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 59, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 14, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 11, Not often enough: 3, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 36
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 41, Interesting: 27, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 58, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 63, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 46, Helpful: 22, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 14, No printed course notes: 29, No opinion: 14
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 41, No opinion: 23
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 24, Okay: 38, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 23, Somewhat too much: 20, Okay: 27, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 6, 7-10 hours: 13, 11-15 hours: 18, > 15 hours: 33

72% (70 of 97) of students answered some questions.

CS251 Section 001

Kharal Rosina

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 14, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 38, Somewhat too low: 5, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 15, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 12, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 5, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 18, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 13, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 20
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 14, Interesting: 23, Not Interesting: 7, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 16, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 29, 75-90%: 11, 50-75%: 6, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 19, Helpful: 26, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 15, No printed course notes: 3, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 8, No text required: 5, No opinion: 15
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 41, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 42, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 6, 3-6 hours: 34, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

52% (47 of 90) of students answered some questions.

CS251 Section 002

Kharal Rosina

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 15, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 15, Just right: 29, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 1, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 23, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 8, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 20, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 13, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 25
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 10, Interesting: 30, Not Interesting: 11, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 18, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 36, 75-90%: 12, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 26, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 9, No printed course notes: 2, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 11, No text required: 12, No opinion: 11
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 41, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 45, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 8, 3-6 hours: 37, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

53% (51 of 97) of students answered some questions.

CS330 Section 001

Liu Zhiyong Michael

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 24, Good: 32, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 10, Just right: 57, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 26, Good: 35, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 24, Good: 26, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 23, Good: 31, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 20, Often enough: 10, Not often enough: 4, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 31
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 20, Interesting: 38, Not Interesting: 11, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 27, Good: 31, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 47, 75-90%: 18, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 3, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 54, Not helpful: 7, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 4
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 9, No printed course notes: 37, No opinion: 6
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 12, No text required: 35, No opinion: 14
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 16, Okay: 54, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 56, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 34, 3-6 hours: 27, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

65% (73 of 112) of students answered some questions.

CS330 Section 002

Liu Zhiyong Michael

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 23, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 36, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 19, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 18, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 16, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 11, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 14, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 17, Interesting: 23, Not Interesting: 7, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 25, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 24, 75-90%: 16, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 27, Not helpful: 5, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 5, No printed course notes: 19, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 10, No text required: 9, No opinion: 11
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 37, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 37, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 22, 3-6 hours: 21, 7-10 hours: 3, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

47% (47 of 101) of students answered some questions.

CS335 Section 001

Xu Wei

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 2, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 9, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 8, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 11, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 8, No opinion: 2
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 2, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 9, Very poor: 9, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 3, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 10, Very poor: 5, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 0, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 11, Very poor: 9, No opinion: 2
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 3, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 11, Never: 4, I did not seek help: 8
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 3, Interesting: 21, Not Interesting: 14, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 0, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 13, Very poor: 8, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 34, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 10, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 17, No printed course notes: 1, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 10, No text required: 16, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 10, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 3, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 15, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 4, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 14, 7-10 hours: 16, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 3

44% (39 of 88) of students answered some questions.

CS338 Section 001

Toman David

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 3, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 13, Just right: 19, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 3, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 10, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 7, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 1, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 7, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 4, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 15
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 21, Not Interesting: 9, No opinion: 5
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 3, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 5, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 21, 75-90%: 12, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 2, No opinion: 2
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 12, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 22, No opinion: 8
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 29, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 29, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 3, No opinion: 2
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 14, 3-6 hours: 16, 7-10 hours: 7, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

37% (38 of 103) of students answered some questions.

CS341 Section 001

Stinson Douglas R

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 14, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 13, Just right: 26, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 2, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 21, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 11, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 18, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 9, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 26
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 13, Interesting: 27, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 17, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 32, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 26, Not helpful: 4, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 13, No opinion: 6
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 13, No opinion: 18
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 5, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 35, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 24, 7-10 hours: 14, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 1

58% (45 of 78) of students answered some questions.

CS341 Section 002

Stinson Douglas R

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 14, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 14, Just right: 22, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 13, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 6, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 14, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 3, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 23
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 26, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 11, Good: 24, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 33, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 28, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 7, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 11, No opinion: 19
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 25, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 29, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 14, 7-10 hours: 17, 11-15 hours: 8, > 15 hours: 1

51% (41 of 81) of students answered some questions.

CS341 Section 003

Lanctot Kevin

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 10, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 18, Somewhat too low: 7, Too low: 2, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 15, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 5, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 12, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 2, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 4, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 19
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 5, Interesting: 22, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 10, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 22, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 4, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 2
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 6, No opinion: 6
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 6, No opinion: 14
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 18, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 24, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 19, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

42% (34 of 81) of students answered some questions.

CS343 Section 001

Buhr Peter A

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 36, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 32, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 25, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 20, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 35, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 0, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 31
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 30, Interesting: 12, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 36, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 32, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 33, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 24, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 21, No opinion: 11
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 27, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 11, Somewhat too much: 16, Okay: 17, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 8, 7-10 hours: 17, 11-15 hours: 13, > 15 hours: 4

58% (44 of 76) of students answered some questions.

CS343 Section 002

Harji Ashif S

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 11, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 19, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 17, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 7, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 14, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 17
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 18, Interesting: 11, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 17, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 27, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 16, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 13, No opinion: 5
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 18, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 15, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 6, 7-10 hours: 14, 11-15 hours: 7, > 15 hours: 3

46% (30 of 65) of students answered some questions.

CS343 Section 003

Buhr Peter A

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 21, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 19, Somewhat too low: 7, Too low: 1, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 20, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 16, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 26, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 4, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 16
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 20, Interesting: 10, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 21, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 27, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 19, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 1, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 15, No opinion: 8
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 18, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 6, 7-10 hours: 13, 11-15 hours: 7, > 15 hours: 8

56% (34 of 61) of students answered some questions.

CS348 Section 001

Chan Edward

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 2, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 9, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 12, Somewhat too low: 8, Too low: 2, No opinion: 7
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 1, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 9, Very poor: 8, No opinion: 5
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 10, No opinion: 2
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 1, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 13, No opinion: 2
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 2, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 3, Never: 3, I did not seek help: 23
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 13, Not Interesting: 18, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 3, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 9, Very poor: 9, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 9, 75-90%: 9, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 4, < 25%: 8
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 26, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 9, No printed course notes: 8, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 14, No opinion: 18
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 6, Too little: 5, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 25, Somewhat too little: 5, Too little: 3, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 9, 3-6 hours: 19, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

42% (34 of 81) of students answered some questions.

CS348 Section 002

Chan Edward

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 2, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 19, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 14, Somewhat too low: 7, Too low: 9, No opinion: 9
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 0, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 17, No opinion: 7
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 1, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 17, No opinion: 3
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 1, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 24, No opinion: 2
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 0, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 3, Never: 8, I did not seek help: 28
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 15, Not Interesting: 24, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 1, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 21, No opinion: 2

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 9, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 7, 25-50%: 6, < 25%: 17
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 24, Not helpful: 11, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 16, No printed course notes: 9, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 7, No text required: 22, No opinion: 12
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 27, Somewhat too little: 6, Too little: 6, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 33, Somewhat too little: 7, Too little: 2, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 7, 3-6 hours: 27, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

58% (44 of 76) of students answered some questions.

CS348 Section 003

Chan Edward

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 1, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 12, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 11, Somewhat too low: 10, Too low: 6, No opinion: 6
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 2, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 10, Very poor: 12, No opinion: 3
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 14, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 1, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 19, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 1, Most of the time: 0, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 6, Never: 6, I did not seek help: 22
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 0, Interesting: 13, Not Interesting: 23, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 1, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 11, Very poor: 13, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 12, 75-90%: 1, 50-75%: 11, 25-50%: 5, < 25%: 9
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 24, Not helpful: 7, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 2
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 13, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 20, No opinion: 13
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 22, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 4, No opinion: 5
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 32, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 10, 3-6 hours: 20, 7-10 hours: 7, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 1

49% (38 of 78) of students answered some questions.

CS349 Section 001

Becker Byron Weber

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 12, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 19, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 12, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 11, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 12, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 3, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 11, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 12, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 15, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 15, No opinion: 7
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 16, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 14, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 4, 7-10 hours: 10, 11-15 hours: 7, > 15 hours: 5

52% (26 of 50) of students answered some questions.

CS349 Section 002

Becker Byron Weber

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 14, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 0, Just right: 20, Somewhat too low: 5, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 10, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 9, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 14, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 2, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 14
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 14, Interesting: 10, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 14, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 22, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 12, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 15, No opinion: 8
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 17, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 14, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 9, 7-10 hours: 10, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 4

60% (27 of 45) of students answered some questions.

CS350 Section 001

Salem Kenneth

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 25, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 36, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 29, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 20, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 26, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 14, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 16
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 18, Interesting: 22, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 26, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 33, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 23, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 21, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 16, No opinion: 9
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 31, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 20, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 5, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 12, > 15 hours: 12

57% (42 of 74) of students answered some questions.

CS350 Section 002

Wong Bernard

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 33, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 41, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 39, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 19, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 34, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 20, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 18
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 21, Interesting: 24, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 37, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 41, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 24, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 4, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 23, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 2, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 16, No opinion: 20
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 27, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 9, Somewhat too much: 16, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 4, 7-10 hours: 18, 11-15 hours: 10, > 15 hours: 17

66% (49 of 74) of students answered some questions.

CS350 Section 003

Wong Bernard

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 49, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 12, Just right: 42, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 50, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 40, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 43, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 34, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 15
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 29, Interesting: 26, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 49, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 42, 75-90%: 11, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 33, Helpful: 23, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 28, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 1, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 28, No opinion: 13
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 25, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 11, Somewhat too much: 24, Okay: 20, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 6, 7-10 hours: 14, 11-15 hours: 18, > 15 hours: 19

68% (57 of 84) of students answered some questions.

CS360 Section 001

Roberts Collin Donald

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 8, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 10, Just right: 16, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 2
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 19, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 4
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 10, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 4, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 20
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 6, Interesting: 17, Not Interesting: 7, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 10, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 19, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 6, < 25%: 2
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 5, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 8, No opinion: 14
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 24, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 20, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 17, 7-10 hours: 9, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 1

53% (31 of 59) of students answered some questions.

CS370 Section 001

Labahn George

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 17, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 11, Just right: 25, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 10, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 11, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 19, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 3, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 21
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 15, Interesting: 19, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 17, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 32, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 1, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 21, No opinion: 11
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 31, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 17, 7-10 hours: 17, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 0

54% (40 of 74) of students answered some questions.

CS370 Section 002

Labahn George

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 14, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 14, Just right: 29, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 12, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 13, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 18, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 3, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 20
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 15, Interesting: 23, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 18, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 30, 75-90%: 12, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 19, Helpful: 24, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 28, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 24, No opinion: 8
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 32, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 37, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 26, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 3

66% (44 of 67) of students answered some questions.

CS430 Section 001

Kou Tian

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 14, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 28, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 23, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 15, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 11, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 16, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 9
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 4, Interesting: 16, Not Interesting: 9, No opinion: 7
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 15, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 32, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 5, No opinion: 10
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 18, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 29, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 12, 3-6 hours: 15, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

65% (36 of 55) of students answered some questions.

CS432 Section 001

Safayeni Suzanne

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 12, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 17, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 9, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 12, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 10, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 5
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 5, Interesting: 15, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 10, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 13, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 9, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 18, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 22, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 15, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

45% (23 of 51) of students answered some questions.

CS436/CS636 Section 001

Limam Noura

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 1, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 11, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 5, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 3, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 3, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 3, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 5
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 4, Interesting: 13, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 3, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 16, 75-90%: 1, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 2
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 7, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 3, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 11, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 17, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 11, 7-10 hours: 4, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

74% (20 of 27) of students answered some questions.

CS445/CS645/ECE451 Section 001

Berry Daniel

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 3, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 14, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 1, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 9, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 0, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 3
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 10, Most of the time: 0, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 8
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 9, Not Interesting: 9, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 3, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 9, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 3
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 3
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 8, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 14, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 14, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 16, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 5, 3-6 hours: 12, 7-10 hours: 3, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

53% (20 of 38) of students answered some questions.

CS456/CS656 Section 001

Boutaba Raouf

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 14, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 26, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 10, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 8, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 14, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 3, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 18
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 19, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 18, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 16, 75-90%: 9, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 9, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 3, No opinion: 15
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 27, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 25, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 17, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

50% (29 of 58) of students answered some questions.

CS456/CS656 Section 002

Boutaba Raouf

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 20, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 22, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 15, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 13, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 15, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 12
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 17, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 18, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 20, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 6, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 8, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 3, No opinion: 12
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 25, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 24, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 15, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 3

57% (29 of 51) of students answered some questions.

CS458/CS658 Section 001

Wang Tao

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 7, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 18, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 11, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 12, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 5, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 11
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 13, Interesting: 8, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 8, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 12, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 2, No opinion: 7
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 12, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 16, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 10, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 3

48% (21 of 44) of students answered some questions.

CS458/CS658 Section 002

Goldberg Ian

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 26, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 36, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 29, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 24, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 35, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 10, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 22
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 27, Interesting: 15, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 32, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 36, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 19, Helpful: 22, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 13, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 7, No text required: 5, No opinion: 17
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 34, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 29, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 17, 7-10 hours: 18, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 6

68% (43 of 63) of students answered some questions.

CS466/CS666 Section 001

Ma Bin

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 14, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 25, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 16, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 8, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 8, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 12, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 18, Interesting: 9, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 14, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 27, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 19, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 19, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 10, No opinion: 12
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 24, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 19, 7-10 hours: 9, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 2

62% (32 of 52) of students answered some questions.

CS485/CS685 Section 001

Ben-David Shai

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 9, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 6, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 7, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 9, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 7, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 9, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 2, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 8
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 10, Interesting: 10, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 9, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 18, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 18, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 1, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 11, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 8, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 8, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 6, > 15 hours: 2

67% (24 of 36) of students answered some questions.

CS486/CS686 Section 001

Hoey Jesse

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 20, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 13, Just right: 22, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 21, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 14, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 21, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 9, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 19
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 16, Interesting: 18, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 19, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 28, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 18, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 8, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 6, No opinion: 7
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 25, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 16, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 9, > 15 hours: 3

62% (37 of 60) of students answered some questions.

CS486/CS686 Section 002

Hoey Jesse

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 12, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 17, Just right: 20, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 16, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 14, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 21, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 10, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 19
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 19, Interesting: 22, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 16, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 27, 75-90%: 12, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 22, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 9, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 7, No text required: 5, No opinion: 14
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 31, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 29, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 12, 7-10 hours: 17, 11-15 hours: 6, > 15 hours: 5

70% (42 of 60) of students answered some questions.

CS488/CS688 Section 001

Kaplan Craig Steven

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 17, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 20, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 19, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 19, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 22, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 9
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 21, Interesting: 4, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 20, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 20, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 5, No text required: 2, No opinion: 8
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 12, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 9, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 1, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 14

69% (25 of 36) of students answered some questions.

CS697 Section 001

DiMarco Chrysanne

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 14, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 0, Just right: 18, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 11, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 10, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 15, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 0, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 9
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 8, Interesting: 10, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 14, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 13, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 12, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 5, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 12, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 16, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 8, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 9
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 17, 3-6 hours: 1, 7-10 hours: 0, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

64% (18 of 28) of students answered some questions.

CS745/ECE725 Section 001

Trefler Richard

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 1, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 6, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 3, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 1, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 2, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 2, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 4
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 6, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 2, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 8, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 5, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 0, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 8, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 9, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 6, 7-10 hours: 3, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 1

50% (10 of 20) of students answered some questions.

CS766/QIC820 Section 001

Watrous John

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 6, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 6, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 5, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 4, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 1
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 7, Interesting: 2, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 5, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 8, 75-90%: 1, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 3, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 1, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 7, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 8, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 1, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

69% (9 of 13) of students answered some questions.

CS768/AMATH871/CO/681/PHYS767/QIC710 Section 001

Cleve Richard Erwin

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 11, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 12, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 10, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 8, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 9, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 0, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 4
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 10, Interesting: 6, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 10, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 10, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 3, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 6, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 14, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 4, 7-10 hours: 7, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 0

64% (16 of 25) of students answered some questions.

CS770/CM670/AMATH740 Section 001

Orchard Jeffery John

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 13, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 16, Somewhat too low: 5, Too low: 2, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 14, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 17, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 14, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 12, Most of the time: 10, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 5
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 15, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 15, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 26, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 13, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 18, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 2, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 5, 3-6 hours: 12, 7-10 hours: 7, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 2

76% (28 of 37) of students answered some questions.

MATBUS470 Section 001

Freeland, R Keith

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 7, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 6, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 3, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 6, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 3, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 3
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 4, Interesting: 9, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 4, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 10, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 2, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 9, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 5, 3-6 hours: 4, 7-10 hours: 4, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

33% (13 of 39) of students answered some questions.

MATBUS471 Section 001

Wood, Peter J

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 7, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 9, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 15, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 5, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 9, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 9, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 5
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 7, Interesting: 12, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 10, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 14, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 6, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 7, No text required: 4, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 7, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 17, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 14, 7-10 hours: 4, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 1

36% (22 of 61) of students answered some questions.

MATH103 Section 001

Henderson Robert Douglas Evert

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 9, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 11, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 12, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 10, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 10, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 9, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 0, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 3
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 3, Interesting: 8, Not Interesting: 8, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 8, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 15, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 13, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 2, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 10, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 15, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 13, 7-10 hours: 3, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 1

58% (22 of 38) of students answered some questions.

MATH104 Section 001

Sohail Nasir N

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 6, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 21, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 15, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 9, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 2, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 10, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 11
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 7, Interesting: 12, Not Interesting: 8, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 7, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 16, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 18, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 0, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 3
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 15, 3-6 hours: 11, 7-10 hours: 4, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

45% (30 of 66) of students answered some questions.

MATH106 Section 001

Gindi Steven

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 2, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 13, Very poor: 10, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 14, Just right: 8, Somewhat too low: 6, Too low: 5, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 1, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 18, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 8, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 3, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 7, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 2, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 9, Very poor: 7, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 1, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 7, Never: 3, I did not seek help: 14
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 0, Interesting: 11, Not Interesting: 23, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 0, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 11, Very poor: 10, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 21, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 23, Not helpful: 8, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 29, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 6, No text required: 0, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 9, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 21, 7-10 hours: 13, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 1

54% (37 of 69) of students answered some questions.

MATH109 Section 001

Ashburner Michelle Roshan Marie

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 78, Good: 26, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 94, Somewhat too low: 5, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 74, Good: 29, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 77, Good: 24, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 82, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 48, Most of the time: 28, Often enough: 12, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 16
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 42, Interesting: 56, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 5
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 85, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 92, 75-90%: 10, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 2
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 40, Helpful: 48, Not helpful: 6, No work assigned: 5, No opinion: 7
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 74, Helpful: 23, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 5, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 41, Helpful: 43, Not helpful: 10, No text required: 2, No opinion: 9
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 85, Somewhat too little: 10, Too little: 5, No opinion: 5
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 89, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 2, No opinion: 3
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 36, 3-6 hours: 54, 7-10 hours: 14, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

69% (106 of 154) of students answered some questions.

MATH109 Section 002

Ashburner Michelle Roshan Marie

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 72, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 5, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 82, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 68, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 70, Good: 24, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 79, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 39, Most of the time: 26, Often enough: 8, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 23
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 43, Interesting: 43, Not Interesting: 6, No opinion: 6
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 74, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 86, 75-90%: 9, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 2
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 24, Helpful: 54, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 6, No opinion: 9
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 67, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 2, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 27, Helpful: 45, Not helpful: 13, No text required: 2, No opinion: 10
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 68, Somewhat too little: 13, Too little: 6, No opinion: 3
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 71, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 7
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 41, 3-6 hours: 46, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 0

72% (98 of 136) of students answered some questions.

MATH109 Section 003

Ashburner Michelle Roshan Marie

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 68, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 66, Somewhat too low: 5, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 57, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 60, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 63, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 32, Most of the time: 18, Often enough: 8, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 20
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 29, Interesting: 38, Not Interesting: 7, No opinion: 5
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 67, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 67, 75-90%: 9, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 27, Helpful: 39, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 8, No opinion: 4
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 55, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 2, No opinion: 7
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 40, Not helpful: 10, No text required: 1, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 59, Somewhat too little: 6, Too little: 4, No opinion: 5
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 60, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 4
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 25, 3-6 hours: 42, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 1

66% (80 of 121) of students answered some questions.

MATH114 Section 001

Zhu Yi

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 11, Good: 30, Satisfactory: 20, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 16, Just right: 40, Somewhat too low: 8, Too low: 1, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 13, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 21, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 13, Good: 28, Satisfactory: 20, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 15, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 20, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 11, Not often enough: 4, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 37
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 17, Interesting: 34, Not Interesting: 13, No opinion: 5
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 21, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 17, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 45, 75-90%: 13, 50-75%: 9, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 31, Helpful: 34, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 11, No printed course notes: 17, No opinion: 10
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 29, Helpful: 26, Not helpful: 13, No text required: 1, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 17, Okay: 45, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 18, Okay: 46, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 8, 3-6 hours: 46, 7-10 hours: 14, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

62% (69 of 111) of students answered some questions.

MATH114 Section 002

Zhu Yi

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 10, Good: 39, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 23, Just right: 44, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 13, Good: 30, Satisfactory: 25, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 10, Good: 27, Satisfactory: 30, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 5, Good: 45, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 10, Often enough: 17, Not often enough: 3, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 38
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 15, Interesting: 37, Not Interesting: 14, No opinion: 5
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 20, Good: 32, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 51, 75-90%: 14, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 2
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 36, Helpful: 33, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 27, Not helpful: 11, No printed course notes: 18, No opinion: 8
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 37, Not helpful: 15, No text required: 0, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 56, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 20, Okay: 49, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 54, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 1

62% (72 of 116) of students answered some questions.

MATH124 Section 001

Hamilton Jordan

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 126, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 139, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 1, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 128, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 85, Good: 59, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 128, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 62, Most of the time: 25, Often enough: 13, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 49
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 40, Interesting: 81, Not Interesting: 17, No opinion: 14
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 133, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 121, 75-90%: 26, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 98, Helpful: 54, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 33, Helpful: 33, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 66, No opinion: 15
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 42, Not helpful: 30, No text required: 19, No opinion: 47
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 121, Somewhat too little: 7, Too little: 2, No opinion: 4
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 142, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 46, 3-6 hours: 93, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

74% (152 of 206) of students answered some questions.

MATH127 Section 001

McGrath Paul

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 33, Good: 33, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 55, Somewhat too low: 9, Too low: 2, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 51, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 33, Good: 29, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 31, Good: 31, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 42, Most of the time: 16, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 12
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 13, Interesting: 45, Not Interesting: 13, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 42, Good: 26, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 50, 75-90%: 18, 50-75%: 6, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 36, Not helpful: 8, No work assigned: 9, No opinion: 5
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 23, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 26, No opinion: 9
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 30, Not helpful: 18, No text required: 3, No opinion: 11
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 45, Somewhat too little: 7, Too little: 5, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 46, Somewhat too little: 10, Too little: 3, No opinion: 6
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 17, 3-6 hours: 42, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 1

71% (75 of 105) of students answered some questions.

MATH127 Section 002

Dunbar Fiona Emer Siobhan

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 36, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 10, Just right: 39, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 34, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 28, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 31, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 12, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 1, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 29
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 13, Interesting: 21, Not Interesting: 14, No opinion: 7
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 34, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 44, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 26, Not helpful: 5, No work assigned: 5, No opinion: 2
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 33, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 24, Not helpful: 13, No text required: 0, No opinion: 5
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 38, Somewhat too little: 5, Too little: 2, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 39, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 2, No opinion: 2
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 17, 3-6 hours: 27, 7-10 hours: 9, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

53% (55 of 103) of students answered some questions.

MATH127 Section 003

Ingalls Brian

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 15, Good: 29, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 20, Just right: 34, Somewhat too low: 5, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 34, Good: 24, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 15, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 20, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 20, Good: 26, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 14, Most of the time: 19, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 21
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 4, Interesting: 27, Not Interesting: 23, No opinion: 8
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 22, Good: 27, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 40, 75-90%: 14, 50-75%: 6, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 31, Not helpful: 9, No work assigned: 7, No opinion: 3
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 38, No opinion: 6
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 27, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 8, No text required: 0, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 45, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 48, Somewhat too little: 7, Too little: 2, No opinion: 2
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 9, 3-6 hours: 40, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 1

58% (62 of 106) of students answered some questions.

MATH127 Section 004

Keplinger Keegan

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 22, Unsatisfactory: 13, Very poor: 6, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 15, Just right: 21, Somewhat too low: 15, Too low: 7, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 11, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 10, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 7, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 20, Unsatisfactory: 16, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 3, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 18, Unsatisfactory: 12, Very poor: 10, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 14, Not often enough: 3, Never: 3, I did not seek help: 28
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 3, Interesting: 19, Not Interesting: 32, No opinion: 9
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 4, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 16, Very poor: 7, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 51, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 4, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 36, Not helpful: 5, No work assigned: 10, No opinion: 7
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 46, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 34, Not helpful: 9, No text required: 3, No opinion: 7
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 48, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 47, Somewhat too little: 5, Too little: 1, No opinion: 5
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 15, 3-6 hours: 31, 7-10 hours: 16, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

67% (65 of 97) of students answered some questions.

MATH127 Section 005

Smith Paula T.

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 3, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 18, Unsatisfactory: 15, Very poor: 11, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 8, Somewhat too high: 10, Just right: 16, Somewhat too low: 13, Too low: 3, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 6, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 17, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 1, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 17, Very poor: 18, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 0, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 22, Very poor: 10, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 1, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 25
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 19, Not Interesting: 25, No opinion: 5
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 2, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 17, Very poor: 11, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 27, 75-90%: 14, 50-75%: 6, 25-50%: 3, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 29, Not helpful: 7, No work assigned: 4, No opinion: 2
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 40, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 29, Not helpful: 7, No text required: 3, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 15, Okay: 32, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 35, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 3, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 9, 3-6 hours: 30, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

58% (51 of 88) of students answered some questions.

MATH127 Section 006

Kuo Wentang

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 13, Very poor: 5, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 5, Somewhat too high: 17, Just right: 25, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 1, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 15, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 17, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 7, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 10, Very poor: 8, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 5, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 11, Very poor: 13, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 4, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 31
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 6, Interesting: 21, Not Interesting: 20, No opinion: 6
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 10, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 13, Very poor: 6, No opinion: 2

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 36, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 6, 25-50%: 3, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 22, Not helpful: 9, No work assigned: 5, No opinion: 6
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 31, No opinion: 8
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 22, Not helpful: 15, No text required: 1, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 32, Somewhat too little: 5, Too little: 2, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 33, Somewhat too little: 6, Too little: 3, No opinion: 6
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 9, 3-6 hours: 28, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 2

68% (53 of 78) of students answered some questions.

MATH127 Section 007

Kloosterman Matthew

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 4, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 0, Just right: 11, Somewhat too low: 12, Too low: 3, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 4, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 5, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 4, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 2, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 12, Not often enough: 4, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 2
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 3, Interesting: 10, Not Interesting: 9, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 3, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 19, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 7, No work assigned: 4, No opinion: 2
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 5, No printed course notes: 4, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 6, No text required: 2, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 18, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 3, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 13, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 1

55% (26 of 47) of students answered some questions.

MATH127 Section 008

Waite Michael L.

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 30, Good: 28, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 20, Just right: 39, Somewhat too low: 9, Too low: 2, No opinion: 2
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 38, Good: 28, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 25, Good: 35, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 32, Good: 29, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 18, Most of the time: 21, Often enough: 11, Not often enough: 2, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 22
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 39, Not Interesting: 21, No opinion: 6
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 27, Good: 34, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 59, 75-90%: 10, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 34, Not helpful: 11, No work assigned: 8, No opinion: 4
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 5, No printed course notes: 44, No opinion: 12
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 21, Helpful: 38, Not helpful: 12, No text required: 0, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 56, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 4, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 51, Somewhat too little: 10, Too little: 1, No opinion: 2
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 15, 3-6 hours: 38, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 7, > 15 hours: 2

72% (75 of 104) of students answered some questions.

MATH127 Section 009

Yawney John

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 3, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 8, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 1, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 9, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 3, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 9
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 10, Not Interesting: 9, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 3, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 19, 75-90%: 1, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 3, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 5, No printed course notes: 4, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 1, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 18, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 18, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 5, 3-6 hours: 12, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

59% (22 of 37) of students answered some questions.

MATH128 Section 001

Xiao Stanley Yao

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 0, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 14, Very poor: 22, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 20, Somewhat too high: 17, Just right: 5, Somewhat too low: 7, Too low: 6, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 3, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 24, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 9, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 1, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 18, Unsatisfactory: 11, Very poor: 14, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 2, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 19, Unsatisfactory: 13, Very poor: 10, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 15, Not often enough: 3, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 21
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 1, Interesting: 24, Not Interesting: 25, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 1, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 15, Very poor: 21, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 37, 75-90%: 10, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 3, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 32, Not helpful: 13, No work assigned: 1, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 44, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 6, No text required: 18, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 11, Somewhat too much: 20, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 30, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 18, 7-10 hours: 21, 11-15 hours: 11, > 15 hours: 4

51% (55 of 108) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 001

Knoll Carolyn Alexis

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 22, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 8, Just right: 25, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 26, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 24, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 24, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 19, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 6
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 19, Interesting: 15, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 22, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 26, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 22, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 9, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 5, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 24, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 18, 7-10 hours: 9, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 2

72% (38 of 53) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 002

Knoll Carolyn Alexis

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 26, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 32, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 2, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 26, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 23, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 26, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 18, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 2, I did not seek help: 7
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 18, Interesting: 13, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 28, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 31, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 18, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 23, Not helpful: 6, No text required: 2, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 18, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 24, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 17, 7-10 hours: 15, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 1

70% (39 of 56) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 003

Pretti John-Paul C

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 35, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 10, Just right: 33, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 35, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 30, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 39, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 27, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 9
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 16, Interesting: 24, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 5
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 35, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 40, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 12, No opinion: 6
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 9, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 8, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 24, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 36, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 24, 7-10 hours: 19, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

81% (46 of 57) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 004

Pretti John-Paul C

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 28, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 11, Just right: 25, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 31, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 24, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 29, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 18, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 7
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 19, Interesting: 17, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 29, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 29, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 22, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 1, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 9, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 3, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 17, Okay: 19, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 29, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 13, 7-10 hours: 17, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 2

69% (38 of 55) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 005

Akash Mukto

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 11, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 26, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 0, No opinion: 2
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 21, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 11, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 10, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 10, Most of the time: 13, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 8
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 21, Not Interesting: 6, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 12, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 32, 75-90%: 9, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 5, No printed course notes: 13, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 22, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 7, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 21, Okay: 15, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 31, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 20, 7-10 hours: 19, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 1

84% (42 of 50) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 006

Akash Mukto

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 15, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 10, Just right: 27, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 1, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 17, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 13, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 15, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 16, Most of the time: 10, Often enough: 8, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 9
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 14, Interesting: 18, Not Interesting: 10, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 17, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 33, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 19, Helpful: 27, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 15, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 22, Helpful: 18, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 1, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 11, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 16, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 30, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 19, 7-10 hours: 16, 11-15 hours: 6, > 15 hours: 3

84% (46 of 55) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 007

Trelford Ryan

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 26, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 28, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 26, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 23, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 27, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 16, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 8
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 13, Interesting: 17, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 27, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 29, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 9, No opinion: 7
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 4, No opinion: 5
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 19, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 18, 7-10 hours: 10, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 1

63% (33 of 52) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 008

Trelford Ryan

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 33, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 32, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 33, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 33, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 34, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 27, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 7
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 20, Interesting: 18, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 36, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 39, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 20, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 2
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 12, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 4, No opinion: 5
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 22, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 27, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 26, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 0

76% (41 of 54) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 009

Bauman Shane B

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 28, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 31, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 1, No opinion: 3
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 26, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 20, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 26, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 15, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 10
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 18, Interesting: 18, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 26, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 31, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 16, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 1, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 22, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 14, 7-10 hours: 23, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

74% (39 of 53) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 010

Nelson Jennifer Anne

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 22, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 12, Just right: 30, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 28, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 26, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 22, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 17, Most of the time: 11, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 8, Interesting: 33, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 25, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 33, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 22, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 7, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 24, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 3, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 16, Okay: 22, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 38, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 20, 7-10 hours: 20, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 2

84% (46 of 55) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 011

Nelson Jennifer Anne

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 26, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 8, Just right: 34, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 31, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 25, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 26, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 19, Most of the time: 16, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 5
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 10, Interesting: 27, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 28, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 35, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 26, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 8, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 8, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 19, Okay: 22, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 32, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 26, 7-10 hours: 13, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 0

76% (44 of 58) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 012

Freiberg Tristan

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 10, Just right: 8, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 3, No opinion: 2
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 5, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 4, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 2
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 3, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 6, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 3, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 11
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 10, Interesting: 13, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 2, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 18, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 19, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 6, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 0, No opinion: 5
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 14, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 18, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 12, 7-10 hours: 10, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 2

83% (29 of 35) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 013

Hewitt Conrad G

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 11, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 21, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 13, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 7, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 17, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 16, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 7
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 15, Interesting: 10, Not Interesting: 6, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 14, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 25, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 20, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 14, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 6, No opinion: 5
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 22, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 10, 7-10 hours: 13, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 2

58% (32 of 55) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 014

Nelson Jennifer Anne

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 29, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 39, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 35, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 26, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 29, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 22, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 9
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 20, Interesting: 18, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 30, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 39, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 27, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 3
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 19, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 5, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 7, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 25, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 33, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 33, 7-10 hours: 7, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

78% (45 of 58) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 015

Bruni Carmen Anthony

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 22, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 11, Just right: 25, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 22, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 15, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 20, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 16, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 11
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 19, Interesting: 16, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 22, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 33, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 19, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 16, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 4, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 21, Okay: 14, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 24, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

70% (39 of 56) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 016

Bruni Carmen Anthony

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 21, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 27, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 22, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 19, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 24, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 20, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 3
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 11, Interesting: 17, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 25, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 27, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 21, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 4, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 5, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 22, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 24, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 20, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 0

78% (35 of 45) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 017

Marcott Cameron

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 1, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 12, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 1, No opinion: 2
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 5, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 4, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 0, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 10
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 3, Interesting: 13, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 1, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 7, 75-90%: 13, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 14, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 3, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 15, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 17, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 8, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 0

60% (24 of 40) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 018

Koeller Judith Ann

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 26, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 32, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 24, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 26, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 19, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 15, Most of the time: 13, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 8
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 16, Interesting: 22, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 24, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 30, 75-90%: 12, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 21, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 22, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 2, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 36, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 30, 7-10 hours: 9, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 0

75% (44 of 59) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 019

Koeller Judith Ann

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 27, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 29, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 20, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 23, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 25, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 14, Most of the time: 15, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 11
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 16, Interesting: 16, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 5
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 23, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 35, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 22, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 21, Helpful: 18, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 7, No text required: 2, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 20, Okay: 15, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 19, 7-10 hours: 15, 11-15 hours: 6, > 15 hours: 0

74% (42 of 57) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 020

Bauman Shane B

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 22, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 8, Just right: 34, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 24, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 24, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 24, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 12, Most of the time: 12, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 17, Interesting: 21, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 23, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 37, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 21, Helpful: 18, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 19, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 16, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 6, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 17, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 33, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 15, 7-10 hours: 20, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 2

76% (42 of 55) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 021

Bauman Shane B

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 26, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 33, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 28, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 22, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 26, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 17, Most of the time: 10, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 9
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 16, Interesting: 19, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 23, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 37, 75-90%: 1, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 12, No opinion: 6
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 4, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 8, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 24, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 23, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 3

77% (41 of 53) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 022

Garbary Robert

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 10, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 26, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 22, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 12, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 14, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 18, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 4
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 22, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 17, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 24, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 9, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 6, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 18, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 24, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 14, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 0

79% (33 of 42) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 023

VanderBurgh Ian W T

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 43, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 42, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 48, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 37, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 43, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 27, Most of the time: 10, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 11
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 26, Interesting: 21, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 44, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 39, 75-90%: 10, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 32, Helpful: 18, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 33, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 2, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 16, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 5, No text required: 7, No opinion: 5
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 31, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 38, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 23, 7-10 hours: 19, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 3

89% (51 of 57) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 024

Liu Yu-Ru

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 27, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 30, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 31, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 28, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 19, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 22, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 6
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 21, Interesting: 13, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 30, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 35, 75-90%: 1, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 24, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 14, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 5, No text required: 2, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 22, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 29, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 6, 3-6 hours: 18, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 1

67% (39 of 58) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 025

Garbary Robert

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 16, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 28, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 21, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 15, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 20, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 20, Most of the time: 11, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 5
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 14, Interesting: 24, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 18, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 30, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 23, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 18, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 5, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 15, Okay: 20, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 20, 7-10 hours: 14, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

76% (38 of 50) of students answered some questions.

MATH135 Section 026

Thompson Alan Matthew

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 27, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 11, Just right: 26, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 29, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 24, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 29, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 20, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 11
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 10, Interesting: 23, Not Interesting: 9, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 28, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 35, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 5, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 17, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 18, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 4, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 8, Somewhat too much: 19, Okay: 15, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 24, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 13, 7-10 hours: 24, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 0

75% (43 of 57) of students answered some questions.

MATH136 Section 001

Vicente Colmenares Alejandra

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 23, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 42, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 2, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 27, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 19, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 19, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 18, Most of the time: 16, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 11
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 31, Not Interesting: 8, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 22, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 29, 75-90%: 14, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 3
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 27, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 12, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 25, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 3, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 35, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 2, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 45, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 9, 3-6 hours: 33, 7-10 hours: 9, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

45% (53 of 118) of students answered some questions.

MATH136 Section 002

Wood Peter J

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 21, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 32, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 2
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 23, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 17, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 21, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 10, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 11
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 13, Interesting: 16, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 21, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 21, 75-90%: 10, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 19, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 6, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 3, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 25, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 31, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 25, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

38% (36 of 96) of students answered some questions.

MATH136 Section 003

McGrath Paul

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 11, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 11, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 14, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 8, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 10, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 4
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 7, Interesting: 8, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 11, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 14, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 4, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 0, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 12, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 14, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 12, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

41% (18 of 44) of students answered some questions.

MATH137 Section 001

D'Alessio Serge

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 42, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 10, Just right: 35, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 35, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 35, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 43, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 27, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 12
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 13, Interesting: 30, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 42, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 43, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 27, Not helpful: 15, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 16, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 11, No text required: 3, No opinion: 7
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 36, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 34, Somewhat too little: 10, Too little: 4, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 8, 3-6 hours: 34, 7-10 hours: 4, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 1

88% (49 of 56) of students answered some questions.

MATH137 Section 002

Ferguson Barry

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 40, Good: 37, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 10, Somewhat too high: 23, Just right: 54, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 2, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 42, Good: 34, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 41, Good: 31, Satisfactory: 18, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 36, Good: 45, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 22, Most of the time: 18, Often enough: 22, Not often enough: 10, Never: 2, I did not seek help: 17
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 15, Interesting: 59, Not Interesting: 14, No opinion: 6
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 32, Good: 48, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 57, 75-90%: 27, 50-75%: 6, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 27, Helpful: 59, Not helpful: 7, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 2
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 31, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 37, No opinion: 7
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 38, Helpful: 51, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 1, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 19, Okay: 60, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 4, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 74, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 16, 3-6 hours: 44, 7-10 hours: 26, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 4

56% (95 of 170) of students answered some questions.

MATH137 Section 003

Forouzannia Farinaz

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 6, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 40, Unsatisfactory: 14, Very poor: 7, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 14, Just right: 47, Somewhat too low: 14, Too low: 4, No opinion: 4
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 21, Good: 24, Satisfactory: 29, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 3
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 6, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 32, Unsatisfactory: 21, Very poor: 10, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 6, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 37, Unsatisfactory: 20, Very poor: 11, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 19, Most of the time: 21, Often enough: 16, Not often enough: 3, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 25
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 3, Interesting: 37, Not Interesting: 36, No opinion: 10
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 4, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 37, Unsatisfactory: 15, Very poor: 6, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 39, 75-90%: 20, 50-75%: 16, 25-50%: 5, < 25%: 6
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 45, Not helpful: 14, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 4
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 5, No printed course notes: 52, No opinion: 9
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 41, Helpful: 35, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 6, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 58, Somewhat too little: 5, Too little: 3, No opinion: 5
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 70, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 7, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 9, 3-6 hours: 63, 7-10 hours: 13, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

77% (86 of 112) of students answered some questions.

MATH137 Section 004

Hewitt Conrad G

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 34, Good: 37, Satisfactory: 23, Unsatisfactory: 11, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 39, Just right: 51, Somewhat too low: 10, Too low: 0, No opinion: 2
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 29, Good: 40, Satisfactory: 24, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 6, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 22, Good: 28, Satisfactory: 29, Unsatisfactory: 19, Very poor: 6, No opinion: 2
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 40, Good: 35, Satisfactory: 24, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 32, Most of the time: 19, Often enough: 14, Not often enough: 1, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 39
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 20, Interesting: 58, Not Interesting: 19, No opinion: 10
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 38, Good: 31, Satisfactory: 26, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 3

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 76, 75-90%: 21, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 4, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 24, Helpful: 55, Not helpful: 27, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 27, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 49, No opinion: 14
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 33, Helpful: 57, Not helpful: 10, No text required: 2, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 17, Okay: 82, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 1, No opinion: 3
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 80, Somewhat too little: 10, Too little: 5, No opinion: 2
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 11, 3-6 hours: 74, 7-10 hours: 19, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 1

69% (107 of 155) of students answered some questions.

MATH137 Section 005

Eden Michael Charles

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 121, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 7, Somewhat too high: 17, Just right: 118, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 91, Good: 44, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 103, Good: 39, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 129, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 55, Most of the time: 39, Often enough: 14, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 37
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 51, Interesting: 79, Not Interesting: 6, No opinion: 9
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 122, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 118, 75-90%: 22, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 26, Helpful: 88, Not helpful: 25, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 6
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 32, Helpful: 68, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 29, No opinion: 11
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 28, Helpful: 74, Not helpful: 21, No text required: 7, No opinion: 14
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 25, Okay: 100, Somewhat too little: 6, Too little: 3, No opinion: 5
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 118, Somewhat too little: 8, Too little: 5, No opinion: 3
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 21, 3-6 hours: 102, 7-10 hours: 21, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

85% (145 of 170) of students answered some questions.

MATH137 Section 006

Sivaloganathan Sivabal

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 22, Good: 38, Satisfactory: 30, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 5, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 9, Somewhat too high: 31, Just right: 47, Somewhat too low: 12, Too low: 1, No opinion: 2
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 35, Good: 32, Satisfactory: 27, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 29, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 31, Unsatisfactory: 11, Very poor: 8, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 22, Good: 38, Satisfactory: 26, Unsatisfactory: 13, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 18, Most of the time: 23, Often enough: 18, Not often enough: 7, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 34
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 20, Interesting: 44, Not Interesting: 31, No opinion: 9
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 24, Good: 35, Satisfactory: 25, Unsatisfactory: 10, Very poor: 6, No opinion: 2

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 68, 75-90%: 21, 50-75%: 8, 25-50%: 3, < 25%: 4
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 58, Not helpful: 25, No work assigned: 1, No opinion: 3
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 7, No printed course notes: 58, No opinion: 13
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 34, Helpful: 52, Not helpful: 9, No text required: 2, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 16, Okay: 71, Somewhat too little: 9, Too little: 2, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 72, Somewhat too little: 15, Too little: 7, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 27, 3-6 hours: 62, 7-10 hours: 9, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 1

71% (104 of 147) of students answered some questions.

MATH137 Section 007

Gamache Alain

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 33, Good: 59, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 6, Somewhat too high: 22, Just right: 70, Somewhat too low: 9, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 55, Good: 36, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 44, Good: 39, Satisfactory: 25, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 37, Good: 51, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 54, Most of the time: 20, Often enough: 15, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 18
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 23, Interesting: 64, Not Interesting: 17, No opinion: 5
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 41, Good: 50, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 74, 75-90%: 19, 50-75%: 10, 25-50%: 3, < 25%: 3
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 61, Not helpful: 24, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 27, Helpful: 35, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 31, No opinion: 8
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 29, Helpful: 49, Not helpful: 18, No text required: 4, No opinion: 9
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 9, Somewhat too much: 32, Okay: 58, Somewhat too little: 5, Too little: 3, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 83, Somewhat too little: 13, Too little: 3, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 16, 3-6 hours: 80, 7-10 hours: 9, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 2

76% (109 of 144) of students answered some questions.

MATH137 Section 008

Park Doug

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 54, Good: 38, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 23, Just right: 72, Somewhat too low: 7, Too low: 2, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 34, Good: 47, Satisfactory: 22, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 62, Good: 30, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 38, Good: 48, Satisfactory: 19, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 16, Most of the time: 28, Often enough: 22, Not often enough: 6, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 32
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 15, Interesting: 61, Not Interesting: 21, No opinion: 10
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 40, Good: 48, Satisfactory: 17, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 78, 75-90%: 26, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 20, Helpful: 72, Not helpful: 12, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 3
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 59, No opinion: 11
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 25, Helpful: 61, Not helpful: 16, No text required: 1, No opinion: 5
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 79, Somewhat too little: 8, Too little: 3, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 85, Somewhat too little: 11, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 12, 3-6 hours: 72, 7-10 hours: 22, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 1

63% (108 of 172) of students answered some questions.

MATH137 Section 009

Dupuis Maite

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 8, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 41, Unsatisfactory: 28, Very poor: 13, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 21, Just right: 33, Somewhat too low: 32, Too low: 8, No opinion: 12
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 14, Good: 40, Satisfactory: 30, Unsatisfactory: 14, Very poor: 10, No opinion: 3
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 5, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 37, Unsatisfactory: 37, Very poor: 11, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 5, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 31, Unsatisfactory: 38, Very poor: 24, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 24, Most of the time: 15, Often enough: 28, Not often enough: 6, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 37
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 4, Interesting: 47, Not Interesting: 52, No opinion: 8
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 5, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 31, Unsatisfactory: 38, Very poor: 17, No opinion: 2

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 71, 75-90%: 20, 50-75%: 10, 25-50%: 8, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 50, Not helpful: 44, No work assigned: 1, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 46, Not helpful: 13, No printed course notes: 23, No opinion: 6
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 41, Helpful: 46, Not helpful: 11, No text required: 5, No opinion: 7
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 82, Somewhat too little: 8, Too little: 6, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 88, Somewhat too little: 13, Too little: 4, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 19, 3-6 hours: 67, 7-10 hours: 20, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 1

77% (111 of 145) of students answered some questions.

MATH137 Section 010

Poulin Francis

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 31, Good: 42, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 21, Just right: 54, Somewhat too low: 7, Too low: 1, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 64, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 30, Good: 37, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 26, Good: 40, Satisfactory: 17, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 26, Most of the time: 22, Often enough: 8, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 30
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 24, Interesting: 50, Not Interesting: 8, No opinion: 6
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 41, Good: 37, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 71, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 2
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 37, Helpful: 45, Not helpful: 5, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 16, Helpful: 28, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 22, No opinion: 16
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 30, Helpful: 36, Not helpful: 11, No text required: 2, No opinion: 8
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 61, Somewhat too little: 10, Too little: 4, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 72, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 10, 3-6 hours: 65, 7-10 hours: 10, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

73% (88 of 121) of students answered some questions.

MATH138 Section 001

Hamilton Jordan

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 46, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 47, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 46, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 41, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 39, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 27, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 23, Interesting: 27, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 45, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 41, 75-90%: 12, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 30, Helpful: 26, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 21, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 16, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 24, Not helpful: 5, No text required: 8, No opinion: 11
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 39, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 47, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 5, 3-6 hours: 33, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 1

51% (56 of 110) of students answered some questions.

MATH138 Section 002

Fagerstrom Emily

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 14, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 10, Just right: 30, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 0, No opinion: 4
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 23, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 3
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 15, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 20, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 9, Most of the time: 11, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 22
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 7, Interesting: 31, Not Interesting: 8, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 16, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 3

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 37, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 26, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 30, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 18, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 6, No opinion: 8
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 19, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 40, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 37, 7-10 hours: 10, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

49% (50 of 102) of students answered some questions.

MATH138 Section 003

Vinette Francine

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 7, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 25, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 11, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 9, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 8, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 12, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 9
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 5, Interesting: 18, Not Interesting: 13, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 6, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 25, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 16, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 13, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 22, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 1, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 31, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 22, 7-10 hours: 13, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

41% (37 of 90) of students answered some questions.

MATH145 Section 001

New Stephen

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 30, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 27, Somewhat too low: 5, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 27, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 20, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 27, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 22, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 10
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 27, Interesting: 14, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 29, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 32, 75-90%: 9, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 30, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 1, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 20, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 20, No opinion: 5
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 30, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 30, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 13, 7-10 hours: 16, 11-15 hours: 11, > 15 hours: 3

54% (44 of 82) of students answered some questions.

MATH147 Section 001

McKinnon David

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 46, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 5, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 48, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 47, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 41, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 57, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 31, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 19
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 39, Interesting: 25, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 53, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 44, 75-90%: 12, 50-75%: 7, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 2
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 34, Helpful: 27, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 50, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 29, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 9, No opinion: 9
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 52, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 19, Okay: 40, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 12, 7-10 hours: 27, 11-15 hours: 14, > 15 hours: 12

77% (66 of 86) of students answered some questions.

MATH207 Section 001

Smith Paula T.

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 2, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 5, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 3, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 5, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 2, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 2
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 3, Interesting: 3, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 4, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 6, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 3, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 0, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 5, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 4, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 6, 7-10 hours: 1, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 1

33% (8 of 24) of students answered some questions.

MATH225 Section 001

Hartz Michael Peter

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 15, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 18, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 17, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 7, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 12, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 10, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 4
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 3, Interesting: 17, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 15, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 12, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 12, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 2, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 16, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 20, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 19, 7-10 hours: 2, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

55% (22 of 40) of students answered some questions.

MATH227 Section 001

Marshman Beverly J

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 38, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 6, Somewhat too high: 18, Just right: 45, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 3
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 44, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 44, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 44, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 17, Most of the time: 13, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 3, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 34
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 24, Interesting: 33, Not Interesting: 14, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 40, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 57, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 7, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 33, Helpful: 37, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 2
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 31, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 16, No opinion: 8
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 29, Helpful: 32, Not helpful: 7, No text required: 1, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 13, Somewhat too much: 25, Okay: 35, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 10, Somewhat too much: 21, Okay: 42, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 35, 7-10 hours: 29, 11-15 hours: 6, > 15 hours: 1

72% (74 of 103) of students answered some questions.

MATH228 Section 001

Beltaos Andrew

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 36, Good: 24, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 57, Somewhat too low: 5, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 39, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 23, Good: 26, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 41, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 20, Most of the time: 13, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 26
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 21, Interesting: 34, Not Interesting: 8, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 40, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 50, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 6, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 39, Helpful: 27, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 32, No opinion: 10
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 14, No text required: 7, No opinion: 25
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 51, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 56, Somewhat too little: 6, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 7, 3-6 hours: 47, 7-10 hours: 10, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

69% (68 of 99) of students answered some questions.

MATH229 Section 001

Pei Martin

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 3, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 0, Just right: 5, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 1, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 0, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 1, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 0, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 3
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 2, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 2, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 3, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 3, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 5, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 5, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 4, 7-10 hours: 1, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

36% (5 of 14) of students answered some questions.

MATH235 Section 001

Wolczuk Dan Sean Terry

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 59, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 16, Just right: 48, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 56, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 48, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 57, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 30, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 2, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 25
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 14, Interesting: 37, Not Interesting: 15, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 59, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 55, 75-90%: 11, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 20, Helpful: 46, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 19, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 28, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 26, Helpful: 27, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 8, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 10, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 40, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 50, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 6, 3-6 hours: 42, 7-10 hours: 14, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 3

70% (68 of 97) of students answered some questions.

MATH235 Section 002

Szpojankowski Kamil

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 10, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 12, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 6, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 4, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 4, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 10
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 6, Interesting: 8, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 7, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 8, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 7, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 2, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 14, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 16, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 12, 7-10 hours: 4, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

29% (17 of 58) of students answered some questions.

MATH235 Section 003

Stephenson Jonathan

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 16, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 13, Just right: 36, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 17, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 7, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 14, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 27
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 11, Interesting: 26, Not Interesting: 12, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 16, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 33, 75-90%: 13, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 33, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 18, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 24, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 5, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 31, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 46, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 34, 7-10 hours: 13, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 1

55% (53 of 97) of students answered some questions.

MATH235 Section 004

Satriano Matthew

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 16, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 8, Just right: 31, Somewhat too low: 5, Too low: 0, No opinion: 3
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 23, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 13, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 16, Good: 24, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 9, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 25
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 14, Interesting: 28, Not Interesting: 7, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 19, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 30, 75-90%: 11, 50-75%: 6, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 22, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 1, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 20, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 12, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 27, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 5, No opinion: 5
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 31, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 42, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 36, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 1

49% (49 of 100) of students answered some questions.

MATH235 Section 005

Wolczuk Dan Sean Terry

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 62, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 13, Just right: 52, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 60, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 52, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 63, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 30, Most of the time: 11, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 23
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 24, Interesting: 36, Not Interesting: 6, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 58, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 60, 75-90%: 9, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 34, Helpful: 35, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 25, Helpful: 24, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 17, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 34, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 12, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 53, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 59, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 6, 3-6 hours: 47, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 3

68% (69 of 101) of students answered some questions.

MATH237 Section 001

West Joseph John

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 41, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 47, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 38, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 43, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 48, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 12, Most of the time: 16, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 23
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 16, Interesting: 38, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 48, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 52, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 30, Helpful: 29, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 22, No opinion: 6
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 20, Helpful: 22, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 9, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 41, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 51, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 43, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

59% (59 of 100) of students answered some questions.

MATH237 Section 002

Wolczuk Dan Sean Terry

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 63, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 57, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 52, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 50, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 64, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 38, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 20
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 27, Interesting: 32, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 60, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 53, 75-90%: 12, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 31, Helpful: 32, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 1, No opinion: 2
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 29, No opinion: 8
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 20, Helpful: 32, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 4, No opinion: 8
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 16, Okay: 42, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 56, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 9, 3-6 hours: 43, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 1

64% (66 of 103) of students answered some questions.

MATH237 Section 003

Roh Patrick Young

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 21, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 15, Just right: 26, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 14, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 16, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 25, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 8, Not often enough: 3, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 27
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 13, Interesting: 28, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 19, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 36, 75-90%: 9, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 20, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 5, No printed course notes: 21, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 7, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 34, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 37, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 34, 7-10 hours: 9, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

49% (47 of 95) of students answered some questions.

MATH237 Section 004

Krivodonova Lilia

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 14, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 35, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 1, No opinion: 3
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 20, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 14, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 13, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 5, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 8, Not often enough: 4, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 25
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 31, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 16, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 35, 75-90%: 12, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 25, Helpful: 23, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 15, No opinion: 7
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 20, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 5, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 34, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 40, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 32, 7-10 hours: 9, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 2

51% (50 of 99) of students answered some questions.

MATH237 Section 005

Albuquerque Fernando

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 9, Very poor: 5, No opinion: 2
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 27, Somewhat too low: 8, Too low: 3, No opinion: 3
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 8, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 21, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 3, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 18, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 6, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 3, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 11, Very poor: 7, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 8, Not often enough: 3, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 19
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 6, Interesting: 27, Not Interesting: 7, No opinion: 6
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 5, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 7, No opinion: 2

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 24, 75-90%: 13, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 2
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 33, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 20, No opinion: 7
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 6, No opinion: 7
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 32, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 41, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 31, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 1

48% (46 of 95) of students answered some questions.

MATH239 Section 001

Leung Debbie

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 13, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 10, Just right: 24, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 2
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 18, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 3
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 18, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 9, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 10, Most of the time: 14, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 10
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 15, Interesting: 19, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 5
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 13, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 26, 75-90%: 14, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 24, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 5, No printed course notes: 1, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 14, No opinion: 8
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 27, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 35, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 26, 7-10 hours: 9, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 1

51% (40 of 78) of students answered some questions.

MATH239 Section 002

Haxell Penny E.

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 28, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 10, Just right: 34, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 27, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 24, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 25, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 30
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 17, Interesting: 26, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 28, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 41, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 22, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 4, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 2
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 10, No printed course notes: 11, No opinion: 9
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 8, No text required: 25, No opinion: 7
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 8, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 33, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 39, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 35, 7-10 hours: 14, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

54% (50 of 92) of students answered some questions.

MATH239 Section 003

Pei Martin

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 54, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 8, Just right: 51, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 45, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 48, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 46, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 18, Most of the time: 10, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 30
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 39, Interesting: 19, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 52, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 55, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 33, Helpful: 26, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 10, No printed course notes: 9, No opinion: 17
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 25, No opinion: 16
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 15, Okay: 41, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 53, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 6, 3-6 hours: 39, 7-10 hours: 17, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

71% (64 of 90) of students answered some questions.

MATH239 Section 004

Haxell Penny E.

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 28, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 18, Just right: 27, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 27, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 25, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 30, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 11, Not often enough: 1, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 25
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 16, Interesting: 24, Not Interesting: 10, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 27, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 41, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 24, Helpful: 23, Not helpful: 4, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 23, Not helpful: 12, No printed course notes: 4, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 27, No opinion: 5
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 16, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 37, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 27, 7-10 hours: 18, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 3

55% (51 of 92) of students answered some questions.

MATH245 Section 001

Purbhoo Kevin

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 8, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 11, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 5, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 4, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 7, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 1, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 7
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 10, Interesting: 4, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 10, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 14, 75-90%: 0, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 15, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 7, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 14, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 5, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

71% (15 of 21) of students answered some questions.

MATH247 Section 001

Siegel David

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 5, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 17, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 2, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 16, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 13, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 3, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 10, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 12
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 11, Interesting: 12, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 7, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 14, 75-90%: 11, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 16, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 2, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 18, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 18, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 22, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 10, 7-10 hours: 16, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 0

81% (29 of 36) of students answered some questions.

MATH249 Section 001

Purbhoo Kevin

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 22, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 25, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 13, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 13, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 20, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 1, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 24, Interesting: 7, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 24, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 26, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 25, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 25, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 25, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 14, 7-10 hours: 14, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 1

89% (31 of 35) of students answered some questions.

MTHHEL131 Section 001

Kohler, David

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 51, Good: 48, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 13, Just right: 97, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 3, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 59, Good: 39, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 31, Good: 40, Satisfactory: 30, Unsatisfactory: 11, Very poor: 5, No opinion: 2
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 63, Good: 39, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 16, Most of the time: 16, Often enough: 23, Not often enough: 14, Never: 3, I did not seek help: 47
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 41, Interesting: 51, Not Interesting: 23, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 55, Good: 39, Satisfactory: 19, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 104, 75-90%: 11, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 63, Not helpful: 27, No work assigned: 6, No opinion: 15
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 7, No printed course notes: 70, No opinion: 11
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 49, Not helpful: 42, No text required: 3, No opinion: 15
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 23, Okay: 87, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 96, Somewhat too little: 5, Too little: 0, No opinion: 8
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 74, 3-6 hours: 40, 7-10 hours: 4, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

60% (120 of 199) of students answered some questions.

PMATH330 Section 001

Payne Ian

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 10, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 11, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 11, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 6, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 8, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 0, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 4
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 3, Interesting: 13, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 7, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 9, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 1, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 10, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 14, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 11, 7-10 hours: 2, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

42% (16 of 38) of students answered some questions.

PMATH336 Section 001

Ghaswala Tyrone

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 15, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 12, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 16, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 13, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 16, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 13, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 0, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 2
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 5, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 16, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 13, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 2, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 17, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 14, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 16, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 8, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 1

82% (18 of 22) of students answered some questions.

PMATH340 Section 001

Kuo Wentang

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 14, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 10, Just right: 28, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 22, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 3, Good: 26, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 5, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 9, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 16
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 28, Not Interesting: 3, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 14, Good: 24, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 30, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 22, Helpful: 18, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 28, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 5, No text required: 3, No opinion: 13
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 32, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 33, Somewhat too little: 6, Too little: 2, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 6, 3-6 hours: 32, 7-10 hours: 2, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

67% (42 of 63) of students answered some questions.

PMATH347 Section 001

Moosa Rahim

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 15, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 19, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 14, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 11, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 17, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 3, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 4, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 14
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 13, Interesting: 12, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 13, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 23, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 26, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 17, No opinion: 5
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 17, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 19, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 17, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 1

69% (27 of 39) of students answered some questions.

PMATH351 Section 001

Nica Alexandru

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 31, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 29, Somewhat too low: 6, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 19, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 24, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 23, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 10, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 19
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 21, Interesting: 17, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 35, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 32, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 30, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 2, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 27, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 9, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 22, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 1

82% (40 of 49) of students answered some questions.

PMATH451/PMATH651 Section 001

Spronk Nicolaas

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 8, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 7, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 9, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 10, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 9, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 3
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 1, Interesting: 11, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 10, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 14, 75-90%: 0, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 12, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 8, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 6, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 6, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 1, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 3

56% (15 of 27) of students answered some questions.

PMATH453/PMATH753 Section 001

Davidson Kenneth R

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 8, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 10, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 8, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 3
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 9, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 9, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 5
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 2, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 11, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 12, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 11, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 5, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 11, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 8, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 2, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 1

63% (15 of 24) of students answered some questions.

PMATH465/PMATH665 Section 001

Park Doug

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 3, Good: 0, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 3, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 1, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 4, Good: 0, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 2, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 2, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 0, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 1
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 3, Interesting: 1, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 3, Good: 0, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 4, 75-90%: 0, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 4, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 0, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 3, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 4, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 2, 7-10 hours: 0, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 1

33% (4 of 12) of students answered some questions.

SE 212 Section 001

Day, Nancy

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 17, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 15, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 13, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 9, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 16, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 14, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 18, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 21, 75-90%: 0, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 4, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 7, No text required: 0, No opinion: 12
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 15, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 19, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 13, 7-10 hours: 7, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 0

36% (25 of 70) of students answered some questions.

SE 212 Section 002

Day, Nancy

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 16, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 18, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 23, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 16, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 20, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 12
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 11, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 21, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 21, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 20, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 1, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 10, No text required: 3, No opinion: 7
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 17, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 1, Okay: 24, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 19, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 1

48% (27 of 56) of students answered some questions.

STAT202 Section 001

Adcock James

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 20, Good: 48, Satisfactory: 23, Unsatisfactory: 11, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 14, Just right: 76, Somewhat too low: 9, Too low: 2, No opinion: 2
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 29, Good: 39, Satisfactory: 28, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 8, Good: 39, Satisfactory: 30, Unsatisfactory: 20, Very poor: 8, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 31, Good: 51, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 11, Not often enough: 5, Never: 2, I did not seek help: 65
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 10, Interesting: 51, Not Interesting: 36, No opinion: 7
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 26, Good: 50, Satisfactory: 19, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 80, 75-90%: 18, 50-75%: 6, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 35, Helpful: 56, Not helpful: 10, No work assigned: 1, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 25, Helpful: 57, Not helpful: 12, No printed course notes: 4, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 26, Helpful: 43, Not helpful: 14, No text required: 3, No opinion: 15
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 19, Okay: 73, Somewhat too little: 5, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 84, Somewhat too little: 7, Too little: 2, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 29, 3-6 hours: 60, 7-10 hours: 15, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

40% (105 of 262) of students answered some questions.

STAT202 Section 002

Goh Joslin Tze Ching

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 7, Good: 35, Satisfactory: 34, Unsatisfactory: 14, Very poor: 9, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 5, Somewhat too high: 17, Just right: 52, Somewhat too low: 16, Too low: 2, No opinion: 4
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 24, Good: 35, Satisfactory: 22, Unsatisfactory: 11, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 3
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 15, Good: 29, Satisfactory: 34, Unsatisfactory: 11, Very poor: 8, No opinion: 2
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 5, Good: 37, Satisfactory: 27, Unsatisfactory: 15, Very poor: 13, No opinion: 2
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 19, Often enough: 11, Not often enough: 8, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 52
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 45, Not Interesting: 41, No opinion: 11
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 10, Good: 39, Satisfactory: 26, Unsatisfactory: 15, Very poor: 7, No opinion: 2

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 68, 75-90%: 16, 50-75%: 6, 25-50%: 3, < 25%: 6
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 58, Not helpful: 11, No work assigned: 3, No opinion: 4
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 45, Not helpful: 22, No printed course notes: 11, No opinion: 7
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 38, Not helpful: 15, No text required: 2, No opinion: 20
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 16, Okay: 71, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 2, No opinion: 3
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 82, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 3
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 29, 3-6 hours: 60, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 1

45% (99 of 222) of students answered some questions.

STAT206 Section 001

Akhundov Ilham

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 22, Good: 38, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 9, Just right: 59, Somewhat too low: 5, Too low: 0, No opinion: 2
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 25, Good: 37, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 4
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 25, Good: 30, Satisfactory: 17, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 20, Good: 33, Satisfactory: 18, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 17, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 12, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 37
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 20, Interesting: 41, Not Interesting: 9, No opinion: 6
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 29, Good: 34, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 47, 75-90%: 13, 50-75%: 8, 25-50%: 5, < 25%: 3
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 28, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 25, No opinion: 11
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 26, Helpful: 27, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 12, No opinion: 5
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 12, No text required: 12, No opinion: 29
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 59, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 47, Somewhat too little: 17, Too little: 4, No opinion: 7
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 47, 3-6 hours: 27, 7-10 hours: 0, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

63% (76 of 121) of students answered some questions.

STAT220 Section 001

Roberts Collin Donald

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 2, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 0, Just right: 4, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 3, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 3, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 2, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 3, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 0, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 2
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 0, Interesting: 5, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 2, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 6, 75-90%: 0, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 3, No opinion: 2
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 6, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 6, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 2, 7-10 hours: 2, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

46% (6 of 13) of students answered some questions.

STAT221/STAT231 Section 001

Banerjee Suryapratim

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 47, Good: 47, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 27, Just right: 76, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 42, Good: 41, Satisfactory: 18, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 44, Good: 40, Satisfactory: 18, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 51, Good: 39, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 23, Most of the time: 23, Often enough: 20, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 42
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 25, Interesting: 55, Not Interesting: 23, No opinion: 6
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 50, Good: 45, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 55, 75-90%: 32, 50-75%: 17, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 2
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 43, Not helpful: 5, No work assigned: 45, No opinion: 4
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 21, Helpful: 42, Not helpful: 15, No printed course notes: 13, No opinion: 18
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 38, Not helpful: 10, No text required: 31, No opinion: 20
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 30, Okay: 68, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 66, Somewhat too little: 8, Too little: 4, No opinion: 24
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 29, 3-6 hours: 58, 7-10 hours: 17, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 1

47% (109 of 230) of students answered some questions.

STAT230 Section 001

Struthers Cynthia

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 82, Good: 42, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 9, Somewhat too high: 11, Just right: 109, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 2, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 59, Good: 51, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 92, Good: 30, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 84, Good: 42, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 28, Most of the time: 20, Often enough: 22, Not often enough: 5, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 59
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 39, Interesting: 78, Not Interesting: 15, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 72, Good: 48, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 99, 75-90%: 24, 50-75%: 11, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 30, Helpful: 40, Not helpful: 8, No work assigned: 49, No opinion: 5
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 53, Helpful: 46, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 23, No opinion: 6
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 50, Helpful: 46, Not helpful: 8, No text required: 26, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 8, Somewhat too much: 25, Okay: 101, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 12, Okay: 88, Somewhat too little: 9, Too little: 9, No opinion: 15
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 44, 3-6 hours: 73, 7-10 hours: 15, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 2

64% (136 of 213) of students answered some questions.

STAT230 Section 002

Skrzydlo Diana Katherine

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 23, Good: 27, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 13, Just right: 36, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 1, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 25, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 19, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 28, Good: 24, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 36
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 12, Interesting: 29, Not Interesting: 12, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 25, Good: 26, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 44, 75-90%: 9, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 4, No work assigned: 32, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 28, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 9, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 22, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 17, Okay: 32, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 29, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 6, No opinion: 15
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 17, 3-6 hours: 28, 7-10 hours: 7, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 1

43% (56 of 131) of students answered some questions.

STAT230 Section 003

Rice Gregory

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 52, Good: 26, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 11, Just right: 68, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 2, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 64, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 58, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 67, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 17, Most of the time: 11, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 49
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 21, Interesting: 56, Not Interesting: 6, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 60, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 64, 75-90%: 16, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 48, No opinion: 3
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 26, Helpful: 28, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 17, No opinion: 11
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 22, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 29, No opinion: 14
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 16, Okay: 58, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 45, Somewhat too little: 5, Too little: 15, No opinion: 15
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 37, 3-6 hours: 35, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

47% (84 of 180) of students answered some questions.

STAT230 Section 004

Mohammad Nagham

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 26, Good: 45, Satisfactory: 20, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 13, Just right: 71, Somewhat too low: 10, Too low: 1, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 29, Good: 39, Satisfactory: 21, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 22, Good: 39, Satisfactory: 27, Unsatisfactory: 7, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 24, Good: 42, Satisfactory: 19, Unsatisfactory: 10, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 14, Most of the time: 13, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 4, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 57
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 14, Interesting: 60, Not Interesting: 13, No opinion: 10
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 28, Good: 47, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 72, 75-90%: 19, 50-75%: 5, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 26, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 50, No opinion: 4
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 27, Helpful: 43, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 18, No opinion: 6
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 25, Helpful: 38, Not helpful: 6, No text required: 23, No opinion: 6
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 28, Okay: 58, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 2, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 55, Somewhat too little: 9, Too little: 6, No opinion: 17
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 30, 3-6 hours: 47, 7-10 hours: 10, 11-15 hours: 7, > 15 hours: 1

54% (98 of 181) of students answered some questions.

STAT230 Section 005

Mohammad Nagham

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 29, Good: 27, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 48, Somewhat too low: 8, Too low: 2, No opinion: 4
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 25, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 8, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 36, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 25, Good: 27, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 24, Most of the time: 14, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 24
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 19, Interesting: 43, Not Interesting: 7, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 33, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 44, 75-90%: 14, 50-75%: 11, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 5, No work assigned: 28, No opinion: 5
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 23, Helpful: 30, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 11, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 27, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 14, No opinion: 8
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 49, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 45, Somewhat too little: 5, Too little: 8, No opinion: 6
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 22, 3-6 hours: 27, 7-10 hours: 16, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 2

41% (71 of 173) of students answered some questions.

STAT231 Section 001

Banerjee Suryapratim

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 43, Good: 27, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 15, Just right: 68, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 51, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 37, Good: 28, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 49, Good: 24, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 2
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 33, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 16, Not often enough: 2, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 32
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 20, Interesting: 44, Not Interesting: 21, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 52, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 39, 75-90%: 23, 50-75%: 15, 25-50%: 4, < 25%: 6
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 31, Not helpful: 7, No work assigned: 38, No opinion: 4
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 37, Not helpful: 17, No printed course notes: 7, No opinion: 9
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 23, Not helpful: 11, No text required: 29, No opinion: 10
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 17, Okay: 64, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 48, Somewhat too little: 11, Too little: 6, No opinion: 17
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 30, 3-6 hours: 45, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

42% (89 of 211) of students answered some questions.

STAT240 Section 001

Diao Liqun

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 3, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 9, Somewhat too low: 5, Too low: 1, No opinion: 3
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 1, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 5, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 1, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 2, Often enough: 3, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 13
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 11, Not Interesting: 9, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 1, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 18, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 2
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 12, No work assigned: 3, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 7, No printed course notes: 4, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 5, No text required: 12, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 16, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 3, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 8, Somewhat too little: 6, Too little: 6, No opinion: 3
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 12, 3-6 hours: 11, 7-10 hours: 1, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

69% (24 of 35) of students answered some questions.

STAT322 Section 001

Dawoud Dina

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 16, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 17, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 15, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 17, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 17, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 10, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 3
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 8, Interesting: 8, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 14, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 13, 75-90%: 4, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 4, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 1, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 10, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 16, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 15, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 10, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

67% (20 of 30) of students answered some questions.

STAT330 Section 001

Zhu Yeying

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 26, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 6, Somewhat too high: 15, Just right: 35, Somewhat too low: 6, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 22, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 25, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 15, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 22, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 19, Most of the time: 14, Often enough: 12, Not often enough: 2, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 16
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 5, Interesting: 33, Not Interesting: 24, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 21, Good: 24, Satisfactory: 15, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 50, 75-90%: 8, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 25, Helpful: 36, Not helpful: 3, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 8, No printed course notes: 20, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 32, No opinion: 8
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 8, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 43, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 57, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 41, 7-10 hours: 14, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 1

46% (64 of 140) of students answered some questions.

STAT330 Section 002

Zhu Yeying

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 15, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 18, Just right: 26, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 2, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 15, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 10, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 16, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 7, Good: 22, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 18, Most of the time: 11, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 11
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 6, Interesting: 21, Not Interesting: 19, No opinion: 5
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 10, Good: 25, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 39, 75-90%: 12, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 37, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 2
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 21, Not helpful: 8, No printed course notes: 15, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 10, No text required: 20, No opinion: 7
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 13, Okay: 30, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 40, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 31, 7-10 hours: 10, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 1

44% (51 of 116) of students answered some questions.

STAT331 Section 001

Lysy Martin

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 6, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 20, Unsatisfactory: 12, Very poor: 7, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 15, Somewhat too high: 23, Just right: 14, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 19, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 6, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 22, Unsatisfactory: 15, Very poor: 7, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 9, Good: 23, Satisfactory: 18, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 1
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 8, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 14, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 28
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 23, Not Interesting: 21, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 7, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 19, Unsatisfactory: 10, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 39, 75-90%: 11, 50-75%: 4, 25-50%: 2, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 16, Helpful: 28, Not helpful: 12, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 16, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 18, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 7, No text required: 30, No opinion: 11
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 14, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 36, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 5, 3-6 hours: 29, 7-10 hours: 14, 11-15 hours: 8, > 15 hours: 1

51% (57 of 111) of students answered some questions.

STAT331 Section 002

Lysy Martin

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 9, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 5, Somewhat too high: 18, Just right: 13, Somewhat too low: 4, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 19, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 9, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 3, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 16, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 6, Most of the time: 12, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 3, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 12
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 8, Interesting: 20, Not Interesting: 9, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 10, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 31, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 25, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 10, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 20, No opinion: 12
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 24, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 10, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 23, 7-10 hours: 10, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 2

65% (40 of 62) of students answered some questions.

STAT332 Section 001

Browne Ryan Patrick

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 17, Good: 42, Satisfactory: 13, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 3, Somewhat too high: 15, Just right: 56, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 2, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 27, Good: 35, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 22, Good: 35, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 18, Good: 45, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 19, Most of the time: 17, Often enough: 16, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 26
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 10, Interesting: 52, Not Interesting: 15, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 18, Good: 43, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 37, 75-90%: 24, 50-75%: 9, 25-50%: 5, < 25%: 4
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 25, Helpful: 50, Not helpful: 4, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 33, Not helpful: 8, No printed course notes: 11, No opinion: 9
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 7, No text required: 43, No opinion: 10
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 67, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 2
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 70, Somewhat too little: 4, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 11, 3-6 hours: 50, 7-10 hours: 17, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

43% (80 of 188) of students answered some questions.

STAT333 Section 001

Zhang Chong

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 24, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 16, Just right: 28, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 24, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 25, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 19, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 10, Most of the time: 10, Often enough: 11, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 15
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 16, Interesting: 27, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 19, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 38, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 18, Helpful: 24, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 10, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 6, No opinion: 4
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 18, No opinion: 9
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 36, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 36, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 20, 7-10 hours: 18, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 2

43% (46 of 107) of students answered some questions.

STAT333 Section 002

Zhang Chong

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 22, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 13, Just right: 23, Somewhat too low: 6, Too low: 0, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 19, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 2
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 22, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 14, Good: 20, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 11, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 10, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 17
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 15, Interesting: 18, Not Interesting: 9, No opinion: 3
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 20, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 1

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 37, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 24, Not helpful: 6, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 13, No opinion: 6
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 31, No opinion: 7
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 5, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 36, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 28, 7-10 hours: 9, 11-15 hours: 3, > 15 hours: 2

41% (45 of 110) of students answered some questions.

STAT334 Section 001

Skrzydlo Diana Katherine

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 26, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 27, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 26, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 21, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 27, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 19, Most of the time: 7, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 1
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 13, Interesting: 18, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 22, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 30, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 20, Helpful: 13, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 6, No printed course notes: 7, No opinion: 7
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 3, No text required: 16, No opinion: 9
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 19, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 23, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 4, 3-6 hours: 19, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

79% (33 of 42) of students answered some questions.

STAT337 Section 001

Cotton Cecilia Anne

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 28, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 2, Just right: 31, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 24, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 24, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 26, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 15, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 8
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 18, Interesting: 13, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 27, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 29, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 13, Helpful: 22, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 3, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 24, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 28, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 27, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 7, 3-6 hours: 19, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 1

41% (36 of 88) of students answered some questions.

STAT341 Section 001

Ghodsii Boushehri Ali

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 12, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 15, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 15, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 9, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 9, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 2, Most of the time: 4, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 12
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 14, Interesting: 10, Not Interesting: 1, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 11, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 20, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 8, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 15, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 22, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 16, Somewhat too little: 5, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 19, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 17, 7-10 hours: 5, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 2

59% (26 of 44) of students answered some questions.

STAT371 Section 001

Fahmy Hany

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 12, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 24, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 7, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 9, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 12, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 3, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 3, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 17
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 5, Interesting: 20, Not Interesting: 7, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 8, Good: 16, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 26, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 16, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 8, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 3, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 26, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 17, 7-10 hours: 13, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 0

34% (32 of 93) of students answered some questions.

STAT372 Section 001

Balka Peter

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 7, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 3, Just right: 26, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 10, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 12, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 13, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 6, Often enough: 9, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 10
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 25, Not Interesting: 2, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 11, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 27, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 17, Helpful: 14, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 3, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 4, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 19, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 29, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 30, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 5, 3-6 hours: 19, 7-10 hours: 6, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

36% (31 of 85) of students answered some questions.

STAT373 Section 001

Fahmy Hany

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 4, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 7, Just right: 4, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 3, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 4, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 4, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 0, Most of the time: 1, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 8
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 10, Not Interesting: 5, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 3, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 16, 75-90%: 1, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 12, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 9, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 2, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 8, Okay: 7, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 11, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 1, 3-6 hours: 7, 7-10 hours: 7, 11-15 hours: 1, > 15 hours: 1

38% (18 of 47) of students answered some questions.

STAT430/STAT830 Section 001

Camacho Fernando

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 1, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 4, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 8, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 3, No opinion: 1
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 6, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 1
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 6, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 2, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 6, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 2, Not often enough: 7, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 6
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 3, Interesting: 5, Not Interesting: 11, No opinion: 4
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 2, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 5, Very poor: 5, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 16, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 5, No work assigned: 3, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 3, No printed course notes: 19, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 7, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 6, No text required: 0, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 6, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 9, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 10, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 2, No opinion: 2
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 3, 3-6 hours: 11, 7-10 hours: 7, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 2

43% (23 of 53) of students answered some questions.

STAT431/STAT831 Section 001

Oldford Wayne

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 10, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 2, Somewhat too high: 13, Just right: 16, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 15, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 9, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 11, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 7, Most of the time: 10, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 5, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 5
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 16, Not Interesting: 6, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 11, Good: 12, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 25, 75-90%: 6, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 14, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 16, Not helpful: 10, No printed course notes: 0, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 6, No text required: 18, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 7, Okay: 21, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 1, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 17, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 4, > 15 hours: 0

76% (32 of 42) of students answered some questions.

STAT433/STAT833 Section 001

Shen Yi

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 26, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 5, Somewhat too high: 19, Just right: 23, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 24, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 4
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 30, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 26, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 5, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 22, Most of the time: 10, Often enough: 6, Not often enough: 4, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 8
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 21, Interesting: 23, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 30, Good: 11, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 38, 75-90%: 9, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 28, Helpful: 15, Not helpful: 2, No work assigned: 4, No opinion: 1
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 5, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 34, No opinion: 3
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 5, No text required: 21, No opinion: 7
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 29, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 9, Okay: 31, Somewhat too little: 3, Too little: 1, No opinion: 1
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 6, 3-6 hours: 22, 7-10 hours: 15, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 1

47% (50 of 106) of students answered some questions.

STAT441/STAT841/CM763 Section 001

Ghodsii Boushehri Ali

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 10, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 6, Somewhat too high: 16, Just right: 18, Somewhat too low: 1, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 18, Good: 13, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 15, Good: 14, Satisfactory: 8, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 17, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 11, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 11, Most of the time: 5, Often enough: 7, Not often enough: 7, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 11
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 15, Interesting: 25, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 11, Good: 21, Satisfactory: 7, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 33, 75-90%: 7, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 24, Helpful: 17, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 12, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 8, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 6, Helpful: 11, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 17, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 7, Somewhat too much: 14, Okay: 20, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 10, Somewhat too much: 16, Okay: 15, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 7, 7-10 hours: 12, 11-15 hours: 14, > 15 hours: 8

69% (41 of 59) of students answered some questions.

STAT442/STAT842/CM762 Section 001

Oldford Wayne

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 8, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 6, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 11, Somewhat too low: 3, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 5, Good: 9, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 5, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 3, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 6, Good: 8, Satisfactory: 4, Unsatisfactory: 2, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 4, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 4, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 7
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 11, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 7, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 13, 75-90%: 5, 50-75%: 1, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 10, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 1, No printed course notes: 6, No opinion: 2
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 0, Not helpful: 1, No text required: 14, No opinion: 4
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 6, Okay: 13, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 4, Okay: 15, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 7, 7-10 hours: 11, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 0

44% (20 of 45) of students answered some questions.

STAT443 Section 001

Marriott Paul Kenneth

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 16, Good: 18, Satisfactory: 16, Unsatisfactory: 4, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 4, Somewhat too high: 22, Just right: 27, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 1, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 26, Good: 15, Satisfactory: 9, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 3
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 15, Good: 17, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 6, Very poor: 2, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 18, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 14, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 23, Most of the time: 9, Often enough: 10, Not often enough: 2, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 10
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 11, Interesting: 34, Not Interesting: 7, No opinion: 2
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 21, Good: 19, Satisfactory: 12, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 1, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 39, 75-90%: 11, 50-75%: 3, 25-50%: 1, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 25, Helpful: 19, Not helpful: 4, No work assigned: 5, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 25, Helpful: 20, Not helpful: 4, No printed course notes: 4, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 6, Not helpful: 4, No text required: 36, No opinion: 3
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 8, Somewhat too much: 15, Okay: 25, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 2, No opinion: 1
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 4, Somewhat too much: 11, Okay: 31, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 2, No opinion: 5
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 6, 3-6 hours: 24, 7-10 hours: 18, 11-15 hours: 5, > 15 hours: 1

56% (55 of 99) of students answered some questions.

STAT890 Section 001

Camacho Fernando

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 1, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 0, Somewhat too high: 4, Just right: 0, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 1, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 2, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 1, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 1, Most of the time: 0, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 1, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 1
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 2, Interesting: 2, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 2, Good: 1, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 3, 75-90%: 1, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 2, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 2, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 0, No opinion: 0
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 2, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 2, Okay: 2, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 0, 3-6 hours: 1, 7-10 hours: 2, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 1

80% (4 of 5) of students answered some questions.

STAT901 Section 001

Small Christopher G

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 18, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 6, Just right: 18, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 14, Good: 10, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 20, Good: 5, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 18, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 8, Often enough: 8, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 1
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 11, Not Interesting: 4, No opinion: 1
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 17, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 23, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 9, Not helpful: 1, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 11, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 2, No printed course notes: 6, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 15, Helpful: 7, Not helpful: 2, No text required: 0, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 19, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 1, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 20, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 5, 3-6 hours: 8, 7-10 hours: 8, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 2

76% (25 of 33) of students answered some questions.

STAT906 Section 001

Lemieux Christiane

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 7, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 1, Just right: 9, Somewhat too low: 0, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 6, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 6, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 8, Good: 3, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 5, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 1, Not often enough: 0, Never: 0, I did not seek help: 2
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 9, Interesting: 2, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 9, Good: 2, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 6, 75-90%: 2, 50-75%: 2, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 1
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 9, Helpful: 2, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 0
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 5, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 2, No opinion: 0
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 3, Helpful: 3, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 4, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 10, Somewhat too little: 1, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 0, Okay: 11, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 5, 7-10 hours: 4, 11-15 hours: 0, > 15 hours: 0

48% (11 of 23) of students answered some questions.

STAT946 Section 002

Ghodsi Boushehri Ali

Characteristics of the Instructor

- 1) Evaluate the organization and coherence of the lectures:
Excellent: 7, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 3, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 2) At what level were the instructor's explanations aimed?
Too High: 1, Somewhat too high: 5, Just right: 6, Somewhat too low: 2, Too low: 0, No opinion: 0
- 3) Evaluate the instructor's treatment of students' questions:
Excellent: 9, Good: 4, Satisfactory: 0, Unsatisfactory: 1, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 4) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's visual presentation (blackboard, overheads, etc.)
Excellent: 6, Good: 7, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral presentation:
Excellent: 7, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 1, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0
- 6) Was the instructor available for help outside class?
Always: 2, Most of the time: 3, Often enough: 5, Not often enough: 0, Never: 1, I did not seek help: 3
- 7) Did you find the course interesting?
Very interesting: 8, Interesting: 6, Not Interesting: 0, No opinion: 0
- 8) Evaluate the overall effectiveness of the instructor as a teacher:
Excellent: 6, Good: 6, Satisfactory: 2, Unsatisfactory: 0, Very poor: 0, No opinion: 0

Characteristics of the Course

- 9) What proportion of the lectures did you attend in this course?
90-100%: 11, 75-90%: 3, 50-75%: 0, 25-50%: 0, < 25%: 0
- 10) Was the assigned work (assignments, projects, etc) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 8, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No work assigned: 0, No opinion: 2
- 11) Were the printed notes (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 0, Helpful: 4, Not helpful: 0, No printed course notes: 8, No opinion: 1
- 12) Was the required textbook (if any) helpful in learning the course content?
Very helpful: 1, Helpful: 1, Not helpful: 0, No text required: 10, No opinion: 1
- 13) Did the course introduce an appropriate amount of new material?
Too much: 2, Somewhat too much: 3, Okay: 7, Somewhat too little: 2, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 14) Was the amount of assigned work required for the course appropriate?
Too much: 0, Somewhat too much: 5, Okay: 9, Somewhat too little: 0, Too little: 0, No opinion: 0
- 15) On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course outside of lectures?
0-2 hours: 2, 3-6 hours: 6, 7-10 hours: 1, 11-15 hours: 2, > 15 hours: 3

64% (14 of 22) of students answered some questions.

Index by Course

| Page | Instructor | Course |
|------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | Blake William Peter Thomas | ACTSC221 Section 001 |
| 2 | Freeland R Keith | ACTSC231 Section 001 |
| 3 | Mei Yuchen | ACTSC231 Section 002 |
| 4 | Adcock James | ACTSC232 Section 001 |
| 5 | Cai Jun | ACTSC331 Section 001 |
| 6 | Tan Ken S | ACTSC371 Section 001 |
| 7 | Matheson Brenton James | ACTSC371 Section 002 |
| 8 | Wood Peter J | ACTSC372 Section 001 |
| 9 | Weng Chengguo | ACTSC431/ACTSC831 Section 001 |
| 10 | Cai Jun | ACTSC432/ACTSC832 Section 001 |
| 11 | Saunders David | ACTSC445/ACTSC845 Section 001 |
| 12 | Lemieux Christiane | ACTSC446/ACTSC846 Section 001 |
| 13 | Van Kooten Christopher John | ACTSC463 Section 001 |
| 14 | Brisley Neil | ACTSC471 Section 001 |
| 15 | Li Siu Hang | ACTSC611 Section 001 |
| 16 | Tan Ken S | ACTSC612 Section 001 |
| 17 | Zhu Mu | ACTSC613 Section 001 |
| 18 | Andrews Douglas William | ACTSC614 Section 001 |
| 19 | Andrews Douglas William | ACTSC615 Section 001 |
| 20 | Li Bin | ACTSC970/ACC770 Section 001 |
| 21 | Kolkiewicz Adam | ACTSC972/ACC772 Section 001 |
| 22 | Wirjanto Tony | ACTSC974/STAT974 Section 001 |
| 23 | Vrscay Edward R | AMATH231 Section 001 |
| 24 | West Joseph John | AMATH250 Section 001 |
| 25 | West Joseph John | AMATH250 Section 002 |
| 26 | Lamb Kevin G | AMATH251 Section 001 |
| 27 | Waite Michael L. | AMATH271 Section 001 |
| 28 | Andre Robert | AMATH331/PMATH331 Section 001 |
| 29 | Harmsworth David L | AMATH350 Section 001 |
| 30 | Poulin Francis | AMATH351 Section 001 |
| 31 | Rhebergen Sander | AMATH442 Section 001 |
| 32 | Sivaloganathan Sivabal | AMATH453 Section 001 |
| 33 | Siegel David | AMATH456 Section 001 |
| 34 | Stastna Marek M | AMATH463/AMATH663 Section 001 |
| 35 | Roh Patrick Young | CO227 Section 001 |
| 36 | Guenin Bertrand | CO250 Section 001 |
| 37 | Vavasis Stephen | CO250 Section 002 |
| 38 | Katz Eric | CO330 Section 001 |
| 39 | Richter R. Bruce | CO342 Section 001 |
| 40 | Richter R. Bruce | CO351 Section 001 |
| 41 | Fukasawa Ricardo | CO370 Section 001 |
| 42 | Xu Wei | CO372 Section 001 |
| 43 | Wagner David G | CO430/CO630 Section 001 |
| 44 | Postle Luke | CO442/CO642 Section 001 |
| 45 | Fukasawa Ricardo | CO450/CO650 Section 001 |
| 46 | Gauthier-Shalom Gabriel | CO456 Section 001 |
| 47 | Wolkowicz Henry | CO463/CO663 Section 001 |
| 48 | Jao David | CO485/CO685 Section 001 |
| 49 | Radocchia, Joseph Anthony | COMM231 Section 001 |
| 50 | Matheson, Brenton James | COMM421 Section 001 |
| 51 | Liu, Zhiyong Michael | COMM431 Section 001 |
| 52 | Dupont Edward | CS115 Section 001 |
| 53 | Ibrahim Ahmed Fakhri | CS115 Section 002 |
| 54 | Graham Sandra Lynn | CS115 Section 003 |

| | | |
|-----|----------------------------|-------------------|
| 55 | Ibrahim Ahmed Fakhri | CS115 Section 004 |
| 56 | Bedawi Safaa Mahmoud Ahmed | CS115 Section 005 |
| 57 | Graham Sandra Lynn | CS115 Section 006 |
| 58 | Sakhnini Victoria | CS115 Section 007 |
| 59 | Bedawi Safaa Mahmoud Ahmed | CS115 Section 008 |
| 60 | Maftuleac Daniela | CS115 Section 009 |
| 61 | Vogel Daniel | CS115 Section 010 |
| 62 | Case Lori | CS116 Section 001 |
| 63 | Case Lori | CS116 Section 002 |
| 64 | Case Lori | CS116 Section 003 |
| 65 | Tompkins Dave | CS135 Section 001 |
| 66 | Holtby Daniel James | CS135 Section 002 |
| 67 | Akinyemi John Akinlabi | CS135 Section 003 |
| 68 | Roegiest Adam Micheal | CS135 Section 004 |
| 69 | Tompkins Dave | CS135 Section 005 |
| 70 | Istead Lesley Ann | CS135 Section 006 |
| 71 | Istead Lesley Ann | CS135 Section 007 |
| 72 | Holtby Daniel James | CS135 Section 008 |
| 73 | Akinyemi John Akinlabi | CS135 Section 009 |
| 74 | Roegiest Adam Micheal | CS135 Section 010 |
| 75 | Heinle Albert | CS135 Section 011 |
| 76 | Cormack Gordon V | CS136 Section 001 |
| 77 | Cormack Gordon V | CS136 Section 002 |
| 78 | Bright Curtis William | CS136 Section 003 |
| 79 | Morton Andrew | CS137 Section 001 |
| 80 | Lushman Bradley Michael | CS145 Section 001 |
| 81 | Daly Barbara | CS200 Section 001 |
| 82 | Al-Masri Eyhab | CS230 Section 001 |
| 83 | Hosseini Hadi | CS234 Section 001 |
| 84 | Mehrabi Saeed | CS234 Section 002 |
| 85 | Storjohann Arne | CS240 Section 001 |
| 86 | Storjohann Arne | CS240 Section 002 |
| 87 | Vasiga Troy Michael John | CS241 Section 001 |
| 88 | Vasiga Troy Michael John | CS241 Section 002 |
| 89 | Lushman Bradley Michael | CS241 Section 003 |
| 90 | Lanctot Kevin | CS241 Section 004 |
| 91 | Lhotak Ondrej | CS241 Section 005 |
| 92 | Van Beek Peter | CS245 Section 001 |
| 93 | Buss Jonathan | CS245 Section 002 |
| 94 | Buss Jonathan | CS245 Section 003 |
| 95 | Van Beek Peter | CS245 Section 004 |
| 96 | Van Beek Peter | CS245 Section 005 |
| 97 | Ben-David Shai | CS245 Section 006 |
| 98 | Naeem Nomair Ahmed | CS246 Section 001 |
| 99 | Zhang Cheng | CS246 Section 002 |
| 100 | Naeem Nomair Ahmed | CS246 Section 003 |
| 101 | Zhang Cheng | CS246 Section 004 |
| 102 | Naeem Nomair Ahmed | CS246 Section 005 |
| 103 | Kharal Rosina | CS251 Section 001 |
| 104 | Kharal Rosina | CS251 Section 002 |
| 105 | Liu Zhiyong Michael | CS330 Section 001 |
| 106 | Liu Zhiyong Michael | CS330 Section 002 |
| 107 | Xu Wei | CS335 Section 001 |
| 108 | Toman David | CS338 Section 001 |
| 109 | Stinson Douglas R | CS341 Section 001 |
| 110 | Stinson Douglas R | CS341 Section 002 |

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|--|
| 111 | Lanctot Kevin | CS341 Section 003 |
| 112 | Buhr Peter A | CS343 Section 001 |
| 113 | Harji Ashif S | CS343 Section 002 |
| 114 | Buhr Peter A | CS343 Section 003 |
| 115 | Chan Edward | CS348 Section 001 |
| 116 | Chan Edward | CS348 Section 002 |
| 117 | Chan Edward | CS348 Section 003 |
| 118 | Becker Byron Weber | CS349 Section 001 |
| 119 | Becker Byron Weber | CS349 Section 002 |
| 120 | Salem Kenneth | CS350 Section 001 |
| 121 | Wong Bernard | CS350 Section 002 |
| 122 | Wong Bernard | CS350 Section 003 |
| 123 | Roberts Collin Donald | CS360 Section 001 |
| 124 | Labahn George | CS370 Section 001 |
| 125 | Labahn George | CS370 Section 002 |
| 126 | Kou Tian | CS430 Section 001 |
| 127 | Safayeni Suzanne | CS432 Section 001 |
| 128 | Limam Noura | CS436/CS636 Section 001 |
| 129 | Berry Daniel | CS445/CS645/ECE451 Section 001 |
| 130 | Boutaba Raouf | CS456/CS656 Section 001 |
| 131 | Boutaba Raouf | CS456/CS656 Section 002 |
| 132 | Wang Tao | CS458/CS658 Section 001 |
| 133 | Goldberg Ian | CS458/CS658 Section 002 |
| 134 | Ma Bin | CS466/CS666 Section 001 |
| 135 | Ben-David Shai | CS485/CS685 Section 001 |
| 136 | Hoey Jesse | CS486/CS686 Section 001 |
| 137 | Hoey Jesse | CS486/CS686 Section 002 |
| 138 | Kaplan Craig Steven | CS488/CS688 Section 001 |
| 139 | DiMarco Chrysanne | CS697 Section 001 |
| 140 | Trefler Richard | CS745/ECE725 Section 001 |
| 141 | Watrous John | CS766/QIC820 Section 001 |
| 142 | Cleve Richard Erwin | CS768/AMATH871/CO/681/PHYS767/QIC710 Section 001 |
| 143 | Orchard Jeffery John | CS770/CM670/AMATH740 Section 001 |
| 144 | Freeland, R Keith | MATBUS470 Section 001 |
| 145 | Wood, Peter J | MATBUS471 Section 001 |
| 146 | Henderson Robert Douglas Evert | MATH103 Section 001 |
| 147 | Sohail Nasir N | MATH104 Section 001 |
| 148 | Gindi Steven | MATH106 Section 001 |
| 149 | Ashburner Michelle Roshan Marie | MATH109 Section 001 |
| 150 | Ashburner Michelle Roshan Marie | MATH109 Section 002 |
| 151 | Ashburner Michelle Roshan Marie | MATH109 Section 003 |
| 152 | Zhu Yi | MATH114 Section 001 |
| 153 | Zhu Yi | MATH114 Section 002 |
| 154 | Hamilton Jordan | MATH124 Section 001 |
| 155 | McGrath Paul | MATH127 Section 001 |
| 156 | Dunbar Fiona Emer Siobhan | MATH127 Section 002 |
| 157 | Ingalls Brian | MATH127 Section 003 |
| 158 | Keplinger Keegan | MATH127 Section 004 |
| 159 | Smith Paula T. | MATH127 Section 005 |
| 160 | Kuo Wentang | MATH127 Section 006 |
| 161 | Kloosterman Matthew | MATH127 Section 007 |
| 162 | Waite Michael L. | MATH127 Section 008 |
| 163 | Yawney John | MATH127 Section 009 |
| 164 | Xiao Stanley Yao | MATH128 Section 001 |
| 165 | Knoll Carolyn Alexis | MATH135 Section 001 |
| 166 | Knoll Carolyn Alexis | MATH135 Section 002 |

| | | |
|-----|------------------------------|---------------------|
| 167 | Pretti John-Paul C | MATH135 Section 003 |
| 168 | Pretti John-Paul C | MATH135 Section 004 |
| 169 | Akash Mukto | MATH135 Section 005 |
| 170 | Akash Mukto | MATH135 Section 006 |
| 171 | Trelford Ryan | MATH135 Section 007 |
| 172 | Trelford Ryan | MATH135 Section 008 |
| 173 | Bauman Shane B | MATH135 Section 009 |
| 174 | Nelson Jennifer Anne | MATH135 Section 010 |
| 175 | Nelson Jennifer Anne | MATH135 Section 011 |
| 176 | Freiberg Tristan | MATH135 Section 012 |
| 177 | Hewitt Conrad G | MATH135 Section 013 |
| 178 | Nelson Jennifer Anne | MATH135 Section 014 |
| 179 | Bruni Carmen Anthony | MATH135 Section 015 |
| 180 | Bruni Carmen Anthony | MATH135 Section 016 |
| 181 | Marcott Cameron | MATH135 Section 017 |
| 182 | Koeller Judith Ann | MATH135 Section 018 |
| 183 | Koeller Judith Ann | MATH135 Section 019 |
| 184 | Bauman Shane B | MATH135 Section 020 |
| 185 | Bauman Shane B | MATH135 Section 021 |
| 186 | Garbary Robert | MATH135 Section 022 |
| 187 | VanderBurgh Ian W T | MATH135 Section 023 |
| 188 | Liu Yu-Ru | MATH135 Section 024 |
| 189 | Garbary Robert | MATH135 Section 025 |
| 190 | Thompson Alan Matthew | MATH135 Section 026 |
| 191 | Vicente Colmenares Alejandra | MATH136 Section 001 |
| 192 | Wood Peter J | MATH136 Section 002 |
| 193 | McGrath Paul | MATH136 Section 003 |
| 194 | D'Alessio Serge | MATH137 Section 001 |
| 195 | Ferguson Barry | MATH137 Section 002 |
| 196 | Forouzannia Farinaz | MATH137 Section 003 |
| 197 | Hewitt Conrad G | MATH137 Section 004 |
| 198 | Eden Michael Charles | MATH137 Section 005 |
| 199 | Sivaloganathan Sivabal | MATH137 Section 006 |
| 200 | Gamache Alain | MATH137 Section 007 |
| 201 | Park Doug | MATH137 Section 008 |
| 202 | Dupuis Maite | MATH137 Section 009 |
| 203 | Poulin Francis | MATH137 Section 010 |
| 204 | Hamilton Jordan | MATH138 Section 001 |
| 205 | Fagerstrom Emily | MATH138 Section 002 |
| 206 | Vinette Francine | MATH138 Section 003 |
| 207 | New Stephen | MATH145 Section 001 |
| 208 | McKinnon David | MATH147 Section 001 |
| 209 | Smith Paula T. | MATH207 Section 001 |
| 210 | Hartz Michael Peter | MATH225 Section 001 |
| 211 | Marshman Beverly J | MATH227 Section 001 |
| 212 | Beltaos Andrew | MATH228 Section 001 |
| 213 | Pei Martin | MATH229 Section 001 |
| 214 | Wolczuk Dan Sean Terry | MATH235 Section 001 |
| 215 | Szpojankowski Kamil | MATH235 Section 002 |
| 216 | Stephenson Jonathan | MATH235 Section 003 |
| 217 | Satriano Matthew | MATH235 Section 004 |
| 218 | Wolczuk Dan Sean Terry | MATH235 Section 005 |
| 219 | West Joseph John | MATH237 Section 001 |
| 220 | Wolczuk Dan Sean Terry | MATH237 Section 002 |
| 221 | Roh Patrick Young | MATH237 Section 003 |
| 222 | Krivodonova Lilia | MATH237 Section 004 |

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 223 | Albuquerque Fernando | MATH237 Section 005 |
| 224 | Leung Debbie | MATH239 Section 001 |
| 225 | Haxell Penny E. | MATH239 Section 002 |
| 226 | Pei Martin | MATH239 Section 003 |
| 227 | Haxell Penny E. | MATH239 Section 004 |
| 228 | Purbhoo Kevin | MATH245 Section 001 |
| 229 | Siegel David | MATH247 Section 001 |
| 230 | Purbhoo Kevin | MATH249 Section 001 |
| 231 | Kohler, David | MTHHEL131 Section 001 |
| 232 | Payne Ian | PMATH330 Section 001 |
| 233 | Ghaswala Tyrone | PMATH336 Section 001 |
| 234 | Kuo Wentang | PMATH340 Section 001 |
| 235 | Moosa Rahim | PMATH347 Section 001 |
| 236 | Nica Alexandru | PMATH351 Section 001 |
| 237 | Spronk Nicolaas | PMATH451/PMATH651 Section 001 |
| 238 | Davidson Kenneth R | PMATH453/PMATH753 Section 001 |
| 239 | Park Doug | PMATH465/PMATH665 Section 001 |
| 240 | Day, Nancy | SE 212 Section 001 |
| 241 | Day, Nancy | SE 212 Section 002 |
| 242 | Adcock James | STAT202 Section 001 |
| 243 | Goh Joslin Tze Ching | STAT202 Section 002 |
| 244 | Akhundov Ilham | STAT206 Section 001 |
| 245 | Roberts Collin Donald | STAT220 Section 001 |
| 246 | Banerjee Suryapatim | STAT221/STAT231 Section 001 |
| 247 | Struthers Cynthia | STAT230 Section 001 |
| 248 | Skrzydlo Diana Katherine | STAT230 Section 002 |
| 249 | Rice Gregory | STAT230 Section 003 |
| 250 | Mohammad Nagham | STAT230 Section 004 |
| 251 | Mohammad Nagham | STAT230 Section 005 |
| 252 | Banerjee Suryapatim | STAT231 Section 001 |
| 253 | Diao Liquan | STAT240 Section 001 |
| 254 | Dawoud Dina | STAT322 Section 001 |
| 255 | Zhu Yeying | STAT330 Section 001 |
| 256 | Zhu Yeying | STAT330 Section 002 |
| 257 | Lysy Martin | STAT331 Section 001 |
| 258 | Lysy Martin | STAT331 Section 002 |
| 259 | Browne Ryan Patrick | STAT332 Section 001 |
| 260 | Zhang Chong | STAT333 Section 001 |
| 261 | Zhang Chong | STAT333 Section 002 |
| 262 | Skrzydlo Diana Katherine | STAT334 Section 001 |
| 263 | Cotton Cecilia Anne | STAT337 Section 001 |
| 264 | Ghods Boushehri Ali | STAT341 Section 001 |
| 265 | Fahmy Hany | STAT371 Section 001 |
| 266 | Balka Peter | STAT372 Section 001 |
| 267 | Fahmy Hany | STAT373 Section 001 |
| 268 | Camacho Fernando | STAT430/STAT830 Section 001 |
| 269 | Oldford Wayne | STAT431/STAT831 Section 001 |
| 270 | Shen Yi | STAT433/STAT833 Section 001 |
| 271 | Ghods Boushehri Ali | STAT441/STAT841/CM763 Section 001 |
| 272 | Oldford Wayne | STAT442/STAT842/CM762 Section 001 |
| 273 | Marriott Paul Kenneth | STAT443 Section 001 |
| 274 | Camacho Fernando | STAT890 Section 001 |
| 275 | Small Christopher G | STAT901 Section 001 |
| 276 | Lemieux Christiane | STAT906 Section 001 |
| 277 | Ghods Boushehri Ali | STAT946 Section 002 |

Index by Instructor

| Page | Instructor | Course |
|------|---------------------------------|--|
| 4 | Adcock James | ACTSC232 Section 001 |
| 242 | Adcock James | STAT202 Section 001 |
| 170 | Akash Mukto | MATH135 Section 006 |
| 169 | Akash Mukto | MATH135 Section 005 |
| 244 | Akhundov Ilham | STAT206 Section 001 |
| 73 | Akinyemi John Akinlabi | CS135 Section 009 |
| 67 | Akinyemi John Akinlabi | CS135 Section 003 |
| 82 | Al-Masri Eyhab | CS230 Section 001 |
| 223 | Alburquerque Fernando | MATH237 Section 005 |
| 28 | Andre Robert | AMATH331/PMATH331 Section 001 |
| 18 | Andrews Douglas William | ACTSC614 Section 001 |
| 19 | Andrews Douglas William | ACTSC615 Section 001 |
| 149 | Ashburner Michelle Roshan Marie | MATH109 Section 001 |
| 150 | Ashburner Michelle Roshan Marie | MATH109 Section 002 |
| 151 | Ashburner Michelle Roshan Marie | MATH109 Section 003 |
| 266 | Balka Peter | STAT372 Section 001 |
| 252 | Banerjee Suryapratim | STAT231 Section 001 |
| 246 | Banerjee Suryapratim | STAT221/STAT231 Section 001 |
| 173 | Bauman Shane B | MATH135 Section 009 |
| 184 | Bauman Shane B | MATH135 Section 020 |
| 185 | Bauman Shane B | MATH135 Section 021 |
| 119 | Becker Byron Weber | CS349 Section 002 |
| 118 | Becker Byron Weber | CS349 Section 001 |
| 59 | Bedawi Safaa Mahmoud Ahmed | CS115 Section 008 |
| 56 | Bedawi Safaa Mahmoud Ahmed | CS115 Section 005 |
| 212 | Beltaos Andrew | MATH228 Section 001 |
| 97 | Ben-David Shai | CS245 Section 006 |
| 135 | Ben-David Shai | CS485/CS685 Section 001 |
| 129 | Berry Daniel | CS445/CS645/ECE451 Section 001 |
| 1 | Blake William Peter Thomas | ACTSC221 Section 001 |
| 130 | Boutaba Raouf | CS456/CS656 Section 001 |
| 131 | Boutaba Raouf | CS456/CS656 Section 002 |
| 78 | Bright Curtis William | CS136 Section 003 |
| 14 | Brisley Neil | ACTSC471 Section 001 |
| 259 | Browne Ryan Patrick | STAT332 Section 001 |
| 180 | Bruni Carmen Anthony | MATH135 Section 016 |
| 179 | Bruni Carmen Anthony | MATH135 Section 015 |
| 114 | Buhr Peter A | CS343 Section 003 |
| 112 | Buhr Peter A | CS343 Section 001 |
| 93 | Buss Jonathan | CS245 Section 002 |
| 94 | Buss Jonathan | CS245 Section 003 |
| 10 | Cai Jun | ACTSC432/ACTSC832 Section 001 |
| 5 | Cai Jun | ACTSC331 Section 001 |
| 268 | Camacho Fernando | STAT430/STAT830 Section 001 |
| 274 | Camacho Fernando | STAT890 Section 001 |
| 62 | Case Lori | CS116 Section 001 |
| 64 | Case Lori | CS116 Section 003 |
| 63 | Case Lori | CS116 Section 002 |
| 115 | Chan Edward | CS348 Section 001 |
| 116 | Chan Edward | CS348 Section 002 |
| 117 | Chan Edward | CS348 Section 003 |
| 142 | Cleve Richard Erwin | CS768/AMATH871/CO/681/PHYS767/QIC710 Section 001 |
| 77 | Cormack Gordon V | CS136 Section 002 |
| 76 | Cormack Gordon V | CS136 Section 001 |

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 263 | Cotton Cecilia Anne | STAT337 Section 001 |
| 194 | D'Alessio Serge | MATH137 Section 001 |
| 81 | Daly Barbara | CS200 Section 001 |
| 238 | Davidson Kenneth R | PMATH453/PMATH753 Section 001 |
| 254 | Dawoud Dina | STAT322 Section 001 |
| 241 | Day, Nancy | SE 212 Section 002 |
| 240 | Day, Nancy | SE 212 Section 001 |
| 139 | DiMarco Chrysanne | CS697 Section 001 |
| 253 | Diao Liqun | STAT240 Section 001 |
| 156 | Dunbar Fiona Emer Siobhan | MATH127 Section 002 |
| 52 | Dupont Edward | CS115 Section 001 |
| 202 | Dupuis Maite | MATH137 Section 009 |
| 198 | Eden Michael Charles | MATH137 Section 005 |
| 205 | Fagerstrom Emily | MATH138 Section 002 |
| 267 | Fahmy Hany | STAT373 Section 001 |
| 265 | Fahmy Hany | STAT371 Section 001 |
| 195 | Ferguson Barry | MATH137 Section 002 |
| 196 | Forouzannia Farinaz | MATH137 Section 003 |
| 2 | Freeland R Keith | ACTSC231 Section 001 |
| 144 | Freeland, R Keith | MATBUS470 Section 001 |
| 176 | Freiberg Tristan | MATH135 Section 012 |
| 45 | Fukasawa Ricardo | CO450/CO650 Section 001 |
| 41 | Fukasawa Ricardo | CO370 Section 001 |
| 200 | Gamache Alain | MATH137 Section 007 |
| 189 | Garbary Robert | MATH135 Section 025 |
| 186 | Garbary Robert | MATH135 Section 022 |
| 46 | Gauthier-Shalom Gabriel | CO456 Section 001 |
| 233 | Ghaswala Tyrone | PMATH336 Section 001 |
| 277 | Ghodsí Boushehri Ali | STAT946 Section 002 |
| 271 | Ghodsí Boushehri Ali | STAT441/STAT841/CM763 Section 001 |
| 264 | Ghodsí Boushehri Ali | STAT341 Section 001 |
| 148 | Gindi Steven | MATH106 Section 001 |
| 243 | Goh Joslin Tze Ching | STAT202 Section 002 |
| 133 | Goldberg Ian | CS458/CS658 Section 002 |
| 54 | Graham Sandra Lynn | CS115 Section 003 |
| 57 | Graham Sandra Lynn | CS115 Section 006 |
| 36 | Guenin Bertrand | CO250 Section 001 |
| 154 | Hamilton Jordan | MATH124 Section 001 |
| 204 | Hamilton Jordan | MATH138 Section 001 |
| 113 | Harji Ashif S | CS343 Section 002 |
| 29 | Harmsworth David L | AMATH350 Section 001 |
| 210 | Hartz Michael Peter | MATH225 Section 001 |
| 227 | Haxell Penny E. | MATH239 Section 004 |
| 225 | Haxell Penny E. | MATH239 Section 002 |
| 75 | Heinle Albert | CS135 Section 011 |
| 146 | Henderson Robert Douglas Evert | MATH103 Section 001 |
| 197 | Hewitt Conrad G | MATH137 Section 004 |
| 177 | Hewitt Conrad G | MATH135 Section 013 |
| 136 | Hoey Jesse | CS486/CS686 Section 001 |
| 137 | Hoey Jesse | CS486/CS686 Section 002 |
| 72 | Holtby Daniel James | CS135 Section 008 |
| 66 | Holtby Daniel James | CS135 Section 002 |
| 83 | Hosseini Hadi | CS234 Section 001 |
| 53 | Ibrahim Ahmed Fakhri | CS115 Section 002 |
| 55 | Ibrahim Ahmed Fakhri | CS115 Section 004 |
| 157 | Ingalls Brian | MATH127 Section 003 |

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 70 | Istead Lesley Ann | CS135 Section 006 |
| 71 | Istead Lesley Ann | CS135 Section 007 |
| 48 | Jao David | CO485/CO685 Section 001 |
| 138 | Kaplan Craig Steven | CS488/CS688 Section 001 |
| 38 | Katz Eric | CO330 Section 001 |
| 158 | Keplinger Keegan | MATH127 Section 004 |
| 104 | Kharal Rosina | CS251 Section 002 |
| 103 | Kharal Rosina | CS251 Section 001 |
| 161 | Kloosterman Matthew | MATH127 Section 007 |
| 165 | Knoll Carolyn Alexis | MATH135 Section 001 |
| 166 | Knoll Carolyn Alexis | MATH135 Section 002 |
| 182 | Koeller Judith Ann | MATH135 Section 018 |
| 183 | Koeller Judith Ann | MATH135 Section 019 |
| 231 | Kohler, David | MTHHEL131 Section 001 |
| 21 | Kolkiewicz Adam | ACTSC972/ACC772 Section 001 |
| 126 | Kou Tian | CS430 Section 001 |
| 222 | Krivodonova Lilia | MATH237 Section 004 |
| 160 | Kuo Wentang | MATH127 Section 006 |
| 234 | Kuo Wentang | PMATH340 Section 001 |
| 124 | Labahn George | CS370 Section 001 |
| 125 | Labahn George | CS370 Section 002 |
| 26 | Lamb Kevin G | AMATH251 Section 001 |
| 90 | Lanctot Kevin | CS241 Section 004 |
| 111 | Lanctot Kevin | CS341 Section 003 |
| 276 | Lemieux Christiane | STAT906 Section 001 |
| 12 | Lemieux Christiane | ACTSC446/ACTSC846 Section 001 |
| 224 | Leung Debbie | MATH239 Section 001 |
| 91 | Lhotak Ondrej | CS241 Section 005 |
| 20 | Li Bin | ACTSC970/ACC770 Section 001 |
| 15 | Li Siu Hang | ACTSC611 Section 001 |
| 128 | Limam Noura | CS436/CS636 Section 001 |
| 188 | Liu Yu-Ru | MATH135 Section 024 |
| 106 | Liu Zhiyong Michael | CS330 Section 002 |
| 105 | Liu Zhiyong Michael | CS330 Section 001 |
| 51 | Liu, Zhiyong Michael | COMM431 Section 001 |
| 89 | Lushman Bradley Michael | CS241 Section 003 |
| 80 | Lushman Bradley Michael | CS145 Section 001 |
| 257 | Lysy Martin | STAT331 Section 001 |
| 258 | Lysy Martin | STAT331 Section 002 |
| 134 | Ma Bin | CS466/CS666 Section 001 |
| 60 | Maftuleac Daniela | CS115 Section 009 |
| 181 | Marcott Cameron | MATH135 Section 017 |
| 273 | Marriott Paul Kenneth | STAT443 Section 001 |
| 211 | Marshman Beverly J | MATH227 Section 001 |
| 7 | Matheson Brenton James | ACTSC371 Section 002 |
| 50 | Matheson, Brenton James | COMM421 Section 001 |
| 155 | McGrath Paul | MATH127 Section 001 |
| 193 | McGrath Paul | MATH136 Section 003 |
| 208 | McKinnon David | MATH147 Section 001 |
| 84 | Mehrabi Saeed | CS234 Section 002 |
| 3 | Mei Yuchen | ACTSC231 Section 002 |
| 250 | Mohammad Nagham | STAT230 Section 004 |
| 251 | Mohammad Nagham | STAT230 Section 005 |
| 235 | Moosa Rahim | PMATH347 Section 001 |
| 79 | Morton Andrew | CS137 Section 001 |
| 98 | Naeem Nomair Ahmed | CS246 Section 001 |

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 100 | Naeem Nomair Ahmed | CS246 Section 003 |
| 102 | Naeem Nomair Ahmed | CS246 Section 005 |
| 174 | Nelson Jennifer Anne | MATH135 Section 010 |
| 175 | Nelson Jennifer Anne | MATH135 Section 011 |
| 178 | Nelson Jennifer Anne | MATH135 Section 014 |
| 207 | New Stephen | MATH145 Section 001 |
| 236 | Nica Alexandru | PMATH351 Section 001 |
| 272 | Oldford Wayne | STAT442/STAT842/CM762 Section 001 |
| 269 | Oldford Wayne | STAT431/STAT831 Section 001 |
| 143 | Orchard Jeffery John | CS770/CM670/AMATH740 Section 001 |
| 239 | Park Doug | PMATH465/PMATH665 Section 001 |
| 201 | Park Doug | MATH137 Section 008 |
| 232 | Payne Ian | PMATH330 Section 001 |
| 213 | Pei Martin | MATH229 Section 001 |
| 226 | Pei Martin | MATH239 Section 003 |
| 44 | Postle Luke | CO442/CO642 Section 001 |
| 203 | Poulin Francis | MATH137 Section 010 |
| 30 | Poulin Francis | AMATH351 Section 001 |
| 167 | Pretti John-Paul C | MATH135 Section 003 |
| 168 | Pretti John-Paul C | MATH135 Section 004 |
| 230 | Purbhoo Kevin | MATH249 Section 001 |
| 228 | Purbhoo Kevin | MATH245 Section 001 |
| 49 | Radocchia, Joseph Anthony | COMM231 Section 001 |
| 31 | Rhebergen Sander | AMATH442 Section 001 |
| 249 | Rice Gregory | STAT230 Section 003 |
| 40 | Richter R. Bruce | CO351 Section 001 |
| 39 | Richter R. Bruce | CO342 Section 001 |
| 245 | Roberts Collin Donald | STAT220 Section 001 |
| 123 | Roberts Collin Donald | CS360 Section 001 |
| 74 | Roegiest Adam Micheal | CS135 Section 010 |
| 68 | Roegiest Adam Micheal | CS135 Section 004 |
| 35 | Roh Patrick Young | CO227 Section 001 |
| 221 | Roh Patrick Young | MATH237 Section 003 |
| 127 | Safayeni Suzanne | CS432 Section 001 |
| 58 | Sakhnini Victoria | CS115 Section 007 |
| 120 | Salem Kenneth | CS350 Section 001 |
| 217 | Satriano Matthew | MATH235 Section 004 |
| 11 | Saunders David | ACTSC445/ACTSC845 Section 001 |
| 270 | Shen Yi | STAT433/STAT833 Section 001 |
| 33 | Siegel David | AMATH456 Section 001 |
| 229 | Siegel David | MATH247 Section 001 |
| 32 | Sivaloganathan Sivabal | AMATH453 Section 001 |
| 199 | Sivaloganathan Sivabal | MATH137 Section 006 |
| 262 | Skrzydlo Diana Katherine | STAT334 Section 001 |
| 248 | Skrzydlo Diana Katherine | STAT230 Section 002 |
| 275 | Small Christopher G | STAT901 Section 001 |
| 159 | Smith Paula T. | MATH127 Section 005 |
| 209 | Smith Paula T. | MATH207 Section 001 |
| 147 | Sohail Nasir N | MATH104 Section 001 |
| 237 | Spronk Nicolaas | PMATH451/PMATH651 Section 001 |
| 34 | Stastna Marek M | AMATH463/AMATH663 Section 001 |
| 216 | Stephenson Jonathan | MATH235 Section 003 |
| 109 | Stinson Douglas R | CS341 Section 001 |
| 110 | Stinson Douglas R | CS341 Section 002 |
| 86 | Storjohann Arne | CS240 Section 002 |
| 85 | Storjohann Arne | CS240 Section 001 |

| | | |
|-----|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 247 | Struthers Cynthia | STAT230 Section 001 |
| 215 | Szpojankowski Kamil | MATH235 Section 002 |
| 16 | Tan Ken S | ACTSC612 Section 001 |
| 6 | Tan Ken S | ACTSC371 Section 001 |
| 190 | Thompson Alan Matthew | MATH135 Section 026 |
| 108 | Toman David | CS338 Section 001 |
| 65 | Tompkins Dave | CS135 Section 001 |
| 69 | Tompkins Dave | CS135 Section 005 |
| 140 | Trefler Richard | CS745/ECE725 Section 001 |
| 172 | Trelford Ryan | MATH135 Section 008 |
| 171 | Trelford Ryan | MATH135 Section 007 |
| 92 | Van Beek Peter | CS245 Section 001 |
| 95 | Van Beek Peter | CS245 Section 004 |
| 96 | Van Beek Peter | CS245 Section 005 |
| 13 | Van Kooten Christopher John | ACTSC463 Section 001 |
| 187 | VanderBurgh Ian W T | MATH135 Section 023 |
| 87 | Vasiga Troy Michael John | CS241 Section 001 |
| 88 | Vasiga Troy Michael John | CS241 Section 002 |
| 37 | Vavasis Stephen | CO250 Section 002 |
| 191 | Vicente Colmenares Alejandra | MATH136 Section 001 |
| 206 | Vinette Francine | MATH138 Section 003 |
| 61 | Vogel Daniel | CS115 Section 010 |
| 23 | Vrscay Edward R | AMATH231 Section 001 |
| 43 | Wagner David G | CO430/CO630 Section 001 |
| 27 | Waite Michael L. | AMATH271 Section 001 |
| 162 | Waite Michael L. | MATH127 Section 008 |
| 132 | Wang Tao | CS458/CS658 Section 001 |
| 141 | Watrous John | CS766/QIC820 Section 001 |
| 9 | Weng Chengguo | ACTSC431/ACTSC831 Section 001 |
| 25 | West Joseph John | AMATH250 Section 002 |
| 24 | West Joseph John | AMATH250 Section 001 |
| 219 | West Joseph John | MATH237 Section 001 |
| 22 | Wirjanto Tony | ACTSC974/STAT974 Section 001 |
| 220 | Wolczuk Dan Sean Terry | MATH237 Section 002 |
| 214 | Wolczuk Dan Sean Terry | MATH235 Section 001 |
| 218 | Wolczuk Dan Sean Terry | MATH235 Section 005 |
| 47 | Wolkowicz Henry | CO463/CO663 Section 001 |
| 122 | Wong Bernard | CS350 Section 003 |
| 121 | Wong Bernard | CS350 Section 002 |
| 192 | Wood Peter J | MATH136 Section 002 |
| 8 | Wood Peter J | ACTSC372 Section 001 |
| 145 | Wood, Peter J | MATBUS471 Section 001 |
| 164 | Xiao Stanley Yao | MATH128 Section 001 |
| 42 | Xu Wei | CO372 Section 001 |
| 107 | Xu Wei | CS335 Section 001 |
| 163 | Yawney John | MATH127 Section 009 |
| 99 | Zhang Cheng | CS246 Section 002 |
| 101 | Zhang Cheng | CS246 Section 004 |
| 261 | Zhang Chong | STAT333 Section 002 |
| 260 | Zhang Chong | STAT333 Section 001 |
| 17 | Zhu Mu | ACTSC613 Section 001 |
| 255 | Zhu Yeying | STAT330 Section 001 |
| 256 | Zhu Yeying | STAT330 Section 002 |
| 152 | Zhu Yi | MATH114 Section 001 |
| 153 | Zhu Yi | MATH114 Section 002 |